The Socket Shield Technique using Bone Trephine: A Case Report
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Abstract

**Background:** To avoid tissue alterations of the ridge after tooth extraction the socket shield technique was first introduced in 2010 by Hurzeler. It was suggested that instead of extracting the whole tooth, the buccal aspect of the root could be left intact to preserve the buccal plate of bone and prevent post extraction resorption, at the same time an immediate implant is placed; this would lead to an optimal stable esthetic result after the final delivery of the restoration. To extract the tooth while keeping the buccal aspect intact, a fissure bur is used to cut the tooth mesiodistally then the lingual aspect of the tooth is extracted leaving a socket where the implant is to be placed.

**Materials and Methods:** In this case report a bone trephine was used to take out the remaining root on tooth number 24 leaving an organized rounded section of the palatal/lingual extraction site with a semi lunar internal shape of the buccal aspect of the root that will receive an implant. Results after the implant prosthesis was delivered an unchanged emergence profile was noted. The pre operative cone beam computer tomography (CBCT) showed that there were no signs of infection and that the buccal plate was intact. The post-operative CBCT showed the same unchanged findings.

**Conclusion:** Socket shield represents a promising technique to preserve the buccal plate of bone allowing for immediate implant placement. This helps in achieving an ultimate aesthetic outcome that may imitate the natural emergence profile of the neighboring dentition. In this case report we demonstrate that preparing the shield with a trephine may be of a great advantage.
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Introduction

The contemporary concept of success in implant dentistry has evolved from the success of osseointegration to the esthetic success; where the final prosthesis is comparable in look and emergence profile to the natural tooth/teeth.

After tooth extraction the bony socket is subjected to morphologic and dimensional changes [1-5]. Bone resorption of the alveolar ridge contour is noted especially at the buccal plate of bone where remodeling is more pronounced than at the lingual plate [2, 4] this would compromise the esthetic look of the final restoration.

Many treatment approaches have been introduced and advocated to overcome the negative consequences of tooth extraction, such as immediate implants [4, 6] graft materials [7-11] and membranes [12, 13], however no technique of bone preservation or entire regeneration of the extraction socket has been documented.

Clinical studies have suggested that leaving remaining roots of hopeless teeth in their sockets may prevent or decrease tissue alterations and bone resorption after tooth extraction; this was documented by numerous publications [15-19].

Salama et al [20] described the Root Submergence Technique as a way of preserving bone especially to support the relatively thin buccal plate of bone from collapsing. By maintaining the root in the socket a much greater amount of surrounding tissue may be preserved than with the other commonly used socket preservation techniques. Remodeling of the alveolar ridge leads to crestal bone resorption and thus reduction of the height of the interdental papillae. Root Submergence Technique instead maintains the natural attachment apparatus of the tooth in the pontic site, which in turn allows for complete preservation of the alveolar bone frame [20].

In 2010 Hurzeler et al published their study introducing the socket shield technique. This technique modified the root submergence
technique. The Buccal fragment of the remaining root is left in
the socket after root sectioning is performed from the mesial to
distal side of the remaining root as to separate it into 2 fragments;
Buccal and Palatal/Lingual. The buccal root fragment is left while
the rest of the tooth is extracted [14], leaving a space to place the
implant behind it. This technique would give the benefit of pre-
serving the surrounding tissues similar to the root submergence
technique but allows the placement of the implant at the same
time.

In this case report, a modified socket shield technique was utilized
where a bone trephine instead of fissure bur was used to cut the
tooth along the long axis. This creates an organized cylindrical
shaped tooth fragment on the buccal side, to be left intact sup-
porting the buccal bone. The rest of the root is extracted harvest-
ing some bone fragments with it to be utilized where needed. If
any lingual or palatal fragment was left after trephining and root
extraction, then it should be removed when drilling the osteotomy
for the implant fixture.

Case Report

A 55 years old healthy female patient stepped into the office to
replace an unstable 3 unit splinted Porcelain fused to metal (PFM)
prosthesis on teeth [23-25] (Figure 1).

Clinical examination revealed that teeth number 23 and 24 were
badly decayed with no ferrule effect. Tooth number 24 had no
clinical crown, only a remaining root with no apparent mobility. Radiological examination revealed that the remaining root of
number 24 is root canal treated with a short filling with no signs
of a periapical infection (Figure 2).

Treatment options were discussed with the patient, an implant
was chosen and planned to replace the remaining root of number
24. This would allow for crowning each tooth separately giving
better hygiene, esthetics and stability of the restorations.

Root canal treatment with a Fiber post and composite build up
was performed on tooth number 23 and a root canal treatment
with a composite filling was performed on tooth number 25; both
to receive zirconium crowns.

As for tooth number 24 a modified socket shield technique was
used. Separation of the root was executed using a bone trephine
(stoma trephine burs from swallow dental supplies limited UK,
diameter 4/5) with normal saline irrigation, 10 mm depth was
reached from the edge of the exposed coronal part of the remain-
ing root; the trephine cut was performed in a way parallel to the
buccal side of the tooth following the long axis of the root (Fig-
ure 3), the cylindrical cut of the trephine was performed in a way
leaving a semi lunar fragment attached to the buccal plate of the
bone, and the bulk of the remaining root was extracted (Figure 4)
except for the buccal shield fragment.

Osteotomy was performed afterward to add another 4 mm depth
from the bottom of the well that was created by the trephine cut,
a subtotal of a 14mm height was obtained from the edge of the
coronal part of the root. The coronal part of the remaining intact
segment "fragment" of the root was reduced to a level below the
gingival margin with a coarse-grained diamond bur. After prepa-
ration of the implant bed a 4.3 x 12 mm BONITex® implant (Al-
phatech Systems Germany) was inserted, with a maximum torque
of 25 Newton. The implant was placed at the same level of the
exposed surface of the remaining healthy fragment, followed by
placing a cover screw on the implant hex and leaving it unloaded
(Figure 5).

An open healing was chosen and no sutures were necessary. As
immediate loading was not indicated due to low primary stabil-
ity, an acrylic temporary bridge was used to cover tooth num-
ber 23 and 24 and to replace number 25. A four-month period
was spared before the final zirconium prosthesis was fabricated
and delivered. After the implant prosthesis was delivered an un-
changed emergence profile was noted (Figure 6).

Figure 1. Preoperative photograph showing the preoperative PFM prosthesis covering teeth number [23-25].

Figure 2. (A) clinical picture showing that tooth number 24 had no clinical crown left, only a remaining root is present with
no mobility detected (B) The preoperative radiograph shows the remaining root of 24 which is treated with a short root
canal filling, but no signs of periapical lesion or infection.
The pre-operative cone beam computer tomography (CBCT) showed that there were no signs of infection and that the buccal plate was intact. The post-operative CBCT showed the same unchanged findings (Figure 8).

**Discussion**

It has been documented in the literature that to retain root fragments *in situ* covered by mucosa represents a technique for alveolar ridge preservation [21, 22].

Socket shield technique to maintain the contour of the ridge was first described by Hurzeler in 2010 [14].

While human histological examination is needed to verify the preservation of buccal bone plate and tissue regeneration between the shield and the implant, many case reports in the literature showed the positive clinical outcome of the socket shield technique. The main advantage is to avoid noticeable alteration of ridge shape after tooth extraction [23-25].
Although Hurzeler et al [14] used a fissure bur to prepare the teeth into the shield; not much was illustrated in his study or in the literature about step by step procedure or instrumentation protocol which can be used to extract the root to leave an intact buccal fragment. The procedure itself, by using the fissure bur, is technique sensitive and depends on the skills of the dental practitioner. Precise and meticulous strokes are needed while sectioning the root as not to penetrate the buccal plate causing fenestration of bone or harm to the neighboring teeth. There is lack of clear visibility all the way to the apex of the root putting emphasis on tactile sensation of the practitioner [14].

Using bone trephines in implant dentistry is documented in the literature; a circular osteotomy is obtained by trephines helping to harvest discs or rings of bone to be used as grafts in the recipient sites planned [26].

In this case report the trephine was used to prepare the shield, by using the trephine instead of a fissure bur, a circular clean cut is obtained. Extreme care and time should be taken when using the free hand high speed fissure bur as not to fenestrate the bone or harm the adjacent teeth, while by using the trephine it is less technique sensitive and easier to stay on the track predetermined preoperatively. The borders of the cut may be predictable, resulting in an organized shape of the osteotomy that will receive the implant fixture.

Also when using the trephine to extract the tooth, a bone fragment would be extracted with it; this fragment may be used as a graft material to be packed at the gap between the implant and the bone on the buccal aspect.

**Conclusion**

Socket shield represents a promising technique to preserve the extraction site associated with implant placement, leading to the ultimate esthetic outcome imitating the natural emergence profile and preserving the soft and hard tissue.

The shield technique protocol originally published utilized the high-speed surgical fissure bur, but this case report demonstrates that preparing the shield with a trephine may be of a great advantage. To completely judge the reaction of the tissues in humans and to compare the different armamentarium, a long-term clinical study and a human histological dissection are needed.
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