Isolation and Quantification of Lycopene and Determination of B-Carotene and Total Phenolic Contents from Tomato (Lycopersicum Esculentum) by using Various Methods
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Introduction

Lycopene is an important biological compound and has received great interest in the past decade because of its important role in preventing chronic diseases such as atherosclerosis, skin cancer and prostate cancer [9]. It is an antioxidant that displays higher efficiency than vitamin E and other kinds of carotenoids. A well-balanced diet usually contains an adequate lycopene intake. The recommended daily intake of lycopene is 70 to 75 milligrams, and consuming some lycopene every day is beneficial to health [2].

Lycopene, a fat soluble carotenoid, is a precursor of β-carotene and has at least twice the antioxidant capacity of β-carotene [6]. Good amounts of lycopene are contained in many natural products, like ripe mango, tomato (Lycopersicon esculentum), water-melon, red pepper, black berry, papaya etc., [3]. In the last few years the importance of lycopene rapidly increased due to its pharmacological and anti-carcinogenic properties [8, 13].

Lycopene, a red carotenoid pigment in tomatoes and tomato-based products, is an acyclic form of beta-carotene without pro-vitamin A activity. It has attracted substantial interest during recent times for its beneficial in reducing oxidative stressing coronary heart diseases and other chronic diseases [1, 16, 17, 19]. Its...
molecular weight is 536.89 Da and molecular formula is C_{40}H_{56}
with 89.45 % carbon and 10.51% hydrogen.

It is highly unsaturated hydrocarbon containing 11 conjugated
and two unconjugated double bonds [4, 7]. Molecular structure of
lycopene is following.
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Methods and Materials

Collection of Samples

Tomato fruits samples were collected from the local market of
Dhaka city. Special care was taken to select the most mature sam-
ples. Samples were first chopped and homogenized and then used
to determine different chemical parameters and extract lycopene,
β-carotene and total phenolic contents.

Chemicals, Solvents and Ingredients

The following solvents or mixture of solvents were used to identi-
fy the best solvent for extracting lycopene from tomato paste. All
solvents were analytical grade, and water was distilled, deioniz-
ed.

- n-Hexane 95% (C_{6}H_{14}) - flammable liquid, analytical grade, Ethyl
acetate (CH_{3}COOC_{2}H_{5}) - flammable liquid, Petroleum ether (BP
40-60°C) - flammable liquid, irritating to skin, n-Hexane + ethyl
acetate (1:1 by volume), Ethanol + ethyl acetate (1:1 by volume),
n-Hexane + acetone + ethanol (2:1:1 by volume), n-Hexane +
ethanol (4:3 by volume), Methanol, Aqueous Sodium Chloride,
Aqueous Potassium carbonate, Calcium chloride (Drying Agent),
Carbon tetrachloride, Benzene, Folin-Ciocalteu reagent, Sodium
carbonate (Na_{2}CO_{3}), Gallic acid, Tannic acid.

Extraction Methods of Lycopene from Tomato Powder Sample

Soxhlet Extraction

Samples (1 kg) were frozen. The frozen samples were placed in
a freeze dryer system and then vacuum dried for 24 hours. After
drying, sample was ground to a powder using a blender. Lycopene
was extracted from dried samples by Soxhlet (solvent) extraction.
To extract lycopene, duplicate, accurately weighed samples of
dried tomato powder (approx. 5 g) were placed in cellulose extrac-
tion thimbles (Whatman International Ltd., internal diameter 33
mm × external length 100 mm) and covered with glass wool. The
thimbles were placed in the Soxhlet extraction unit and extracted
for 20 hours with 150 ml of one of the seven solvents. Boiling
chips were added to each solvent-filled boiling flask and the hot
water bath under each flask controlled to the boiling point of each
solvent. Boiling point of solvents used:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solvent</th>
<th>Boiling Point</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n-Hexane</td>
<td>68°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethyl acetate</td>
<td>77°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethanol</td>
<td>78°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petroleum ether</td>
<td>40°C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Once the solvent was boiling at a steady rate, each boiling flask
was covered with aluminum foil to minimize heat loss. Extrac-
tions were done in the dark to minimize lycopene degradation and
run for 20 hours to allow several refluxes.

The amount of solvent remaining in each boiling flask was meas-
ued to determine how much solvent evaporated during the ex-
traction process. A known volume (approximately 2 ml) of the
concentrate solvents were removed by pipette for lycopene analy-

The remaining solvent was then evaporated in a water bath. An
allowance was made for the sample that had been removed for
lycopene determination. The dried concentrate containing the ex-
tracted lycopene was weighed.

Methanol Extraction

Fifty grams tomato paste was dehydrated by adding 65 ml metha-
nol. This mixture was immediately shaken vigorously to prevent
the formation of hard lumps. After 2 hr, the thick suspension was
filtered; the dark red cake was shaken for another 15 min with 75
ml mixture of equal volume of methanol and carbon tetrachlo-
ride and separated by filtration. The carbon tetrachloride phase
was transferred to a separatory funnel; added one volume of wa-
ter, shake well. After phase separation, the carbon tetrachlor-
ide phase was evaporated and the residue was diluted with about
2ml of benzene. Using a dropper, 1 ml of boiling methanol was
added in portion, then crystals of crude lycopene were appeared
immediately and the crystallization was completed by keeping the
liquid at room temperature and ice bath, respectively. The crystals
were washed 10 times using benzene and boiling methanol.

The deep red zone was collected. After complete evaporation of
solvent, the residue was dissolved in 2 ml benzene. After recryst-
allization using boiling methanol, no colorless substances observed.
Crystalline lycopene is not isomerized but has a tendency to au-
toxidation (or air oxidation), especially in light, so it was kept in
dark evacuated glass tubes prior to use.

Acetone - Petroleum Ether Extraction

Sample (1.0-1.5 g powder) was extracted with 10mL acetone-pe-
troleum ether (50%/ v/v). The upper lycopene-containing organic
layer was removed by means of a pipette and collected in test
bud [15]. Extraction was repeated. The extracts were combined,
washed with 15mL saturated aqueous sodium chloride (NaCl) and
removed the aqueous wash with a micropipette. The extract was
washed with 10mL of 10% aqueous potassium carbonate (K_{2}CO_{3})
and removed the aqueous wash. The lycopene-containing organic
layer was dried with a drying agent (calcium chloride) [11]. The excess solvent was allowed to evaporate at room temperature for a few minutes in the dark. The tubes containing lycopene extracts were covered with aluminum foil and stored in freezer until further analysis.

**Determination of β-carotene and Lycopene from different Tomato extracts**

β-Carotene was determined from the dried methanol extract according to Kumari et al., (2011). 100 mg of extract was mixed with 10 ml of acetone-hexane mixture (4:6) for 1 min and filtered. The absorbance was recorded at three different wavelengths (453, 505 & 663 nm). The β-carotene content was calculated by:

$$\text{β-Carotene (mg/100 mL) = } 0.216 \times A663 - 0.304 \times A505 + 0.452 \times A453.$$  

Lycopene (mg/100 mL) = -0.0458 × A663 + 0.372 × A505 - 0.0806 × A453.

The results were presented as mg of β-Carotene/g of extract and lycopene/g of extract mg of β-Carotene/100g of raw tomato and lycopene/100g of raw tomato.

**Extraction Methods of Lycopene from Tomato Paste Sample**

**Ethyl Acetate Extraction Method**

Lycopene extract from tomato is a lycopene-rich extract prepared from the ripe fruits of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* L.). The extract is produced by crushing tomatoes into crude tomato juice that is then separated into serum and pulp. The pulp is subsequently extracted using ethyl acetate as a solvent. The final product is obtained after solvent removal by evaporation under vacuum at 40-60°C (Type: RV 05-ST, Germany). The final extract consists of tomato oil in which lycopene together with a number of other constituents that occur naturally in tomato, are dissolved and dispersed.

The total lycopene and β-carotene content was determined spectrophotometrically using a method according to Kumari et al., (2011).

**Hexane - Ethanol - Acetone Extraction Method**

Started with tomato juice, used a 1000 μl micropipette to take the sample. Dispensed the sample into 15 ml falcon tube. Added 8.0 ml of hexane: ethanol: acetone (2:1:1) using a micropipette. Cap and vortex the tube immediately then incubated out of bright light. After at least 10 minutes, or as long as several hours later, added 1.0 ml water to each sample and vortex again. Let samples stand 10 minutes to allow phases to separate and all air bubbles to disappear. Rinsed the cuvette with the upper layer from one of the blank samples. Discarded, and then used a fresh blank to zero the spectrophotometer at 503 nm. Determined the A503 of the upper layers of the lycopene samples.

Calculation of lycopene levels:

Lycopene content in the hexane extracts were calculated according to:

$$\text{Lycopene (mg/kg fresh wt.) = } A503 \times 171.7/ W$$

Where, W is the exact weight of tomato added, in grams & 171.7 mM-1 is the extinction coefficient for lycopene in hexane.

**Acetone Petroleum Ether Extraction**

Lycopene content Ten grams of sample was weighed and extracted repeatedly with acetone using pestle and mortar till the residue became colorless. The acetone extract was transferred to a separating funnel containing 10-15 ml of petroleum ether and mixed gently. Carotenoid pigments are collected in the petroleum ether fraction by diluting the acetone with water. The lower phase was transferred to another separating funnel and the petroleum ether extract containing pigments to an amber colored bottle. Extraction was repeated similarly with petroleum ether until it is colorless.

To the petroleum ether extract small quantity of anhydrous Na2SO4 was added and the volume made up to 50 ml. The color was measured in a quartz cuvette 503 nm in a spectrophotometer using petroleum ether as a blank.

Lycopene content of sample was calculated by using the relationship that optical density (OD) of 1.0 = 3.1206 μg of lycopene per ml (20).

$$\text{Lycopene content (mg/ 100g):}$$

$$= (3.1205 \times \text{OD of the sample} \times \text{Volume made up to } \times 100) / 1 \times \text{Weight of the sample} \times 1000$$

**Determination of Total Phenolic Content (TPC) in Tomato using Gallic Acid Standard and Tannic Acid Standard**

The amount of total phenolic content was determined by previous study with some modifications [5]. A 0.5 ml of extract (concentration of extract is 1.0 mg/ml) and 0.5 ml of Folin-Ciocalteu reagent (0.5 N) were mixed and incubated at room temperature for 5 min. Then 2.0 ml saturated sodium carbonate (30% w/v) was added and the final volume was made up to 10 ml. It was further incubated for 30 min at room temperature and the absorbance was measured at 765 nm. Gallic acid (GA) was used as positive control. Tannic acid [21] was also used as positive control.

The absorbance was determined using spectrophotometer at \( \lambda_{\text{max}} \) = 765 nm. The absorbencies were read in triplicate for each analysis and the mean value of absorbance was obtained. The same procedure was repeated for the standard solution of Gallic acid and Tannic acid and the calibration lines were construed. Based on the measured absorbance, the concentration of phenolic was read (mg/ml) from the calibration line; then the content of phenolic in extracts was expressed in terms of Gallic acid equivalent (mg of GA/g of extract) and Tannic acid equivalent (mg of TA/g of extract).

The contents of total phenolic was extrapolated by using the linear equation \( Y = 98.41x - 0.744 \) (R square is 0.985) where x is...
absorbance and y is concentration of Gallic acid.

Similarly, the contents of total phenolic was extrapolated by using the linear equation \( Y = 101.2x + 1.965 \) (R square is 0.996) where x is absorbance and y is concentration of tannic acid.

**Statistical Analysis**

All the analyses were carried out in triplicate, and then the results were expressed as average values along with their standard deviations (SD). The data were statistically analyzed by using the MS Excel software.

**Results and Discussions**

Lycopene was isolated by six different solvent extraction methods. Beta carotene and total phenolic content was extracted by three different solvent extraction methods as well as soxhlet extraction method using seven different solvents and different extracts were analyzed by using Spectrophotometer (Shimadzu Spectrophotometer UV-1800).

Extracted lycopene using petroleum ether shows only a small amount of lycopene and other carotenoids. The effect of ethanol on lycopene extraction from tomato powder using soxhlet extraction method was low comparative to other solvents which might suggest that it could be excluded from the extracting mixture. However, a positive synergistic secondary effect was found for ethyl acetate: ethanol (1:1) and hexane: ethanol (4:3) in the samples, suggesting that the inclusion of ethanol would improve extraction rates. Similar findings are reported by Tanugbodhitam et al., 1998, and Lin and Chen (2003), who observed that, the ethanol: hexane mixture (4:3, v/v) increased lycopene extraction in tomatoes. Amount of lycopene in ethyl acetate extract was 1.07 mg/g raw tomato (Table 1).

Among the extraction methods used to extract lycopene from tomato powder the relatively low amount of lycopene was extracted by the methanol and acetone-Petroleum ether extraction methods (Table 1).

Higher amount of \( \beta \)-carotene (3.84 mg% FW) was found in ethyl acetate extraction while lower was found in acetone petroleum ether extraction. Using soxhlet extraction method amount of \( \beta \)-carotene in di-mixture solvents like ethyl acetate &ethanol (1:1) and n-hexane & ethanol (4:3) were 2.48 mg% FW and 2.20 mg % FW respectively. Beta Carotene content in Petroleum Ether, n-hexane and ethyl Acetate solvent extract were 1.33, 1.08 and 1.59 mg% FW respectively. Amount of \( \beta \)-carotene content in other solvent extract like Ethanol, ethyl acetate: n-hexane (1:1) and methanol were almost similar shown in Table 2.

Lycopene content from tomato paste using various solvent and solvent mixtures was higher than from tomato powder. Higher amount of lycopene (6.76 mg/100 g FW) was found in Hexane: ethanol: acetone (2:1:1) extraction and lower amount (0.10 mg/100 g FW) in acetone - petroleum ether extraction method. In contrast, the mixture hexane: ethanol: acetone (2:1:1) appeared

| Table 1. Lycopene content from tomato powder using different solvent extraction methods (Quantity expressed as mg /100 g). |
|---|---|---|---|
| **Sl. No.** | **Methods Name** | **Extract** | **Lycopene (mg/100g FW)** | **\( \beta \)-carotene (mg/100g FW)** |
| | | | **Mean ± SD** | **Mean ± SD** |
| 1 | Soxhlet extraction | Petroleum ether | 0.69 ± 0.03 | 1.33 ± 0.09 |
| | | n-hexane | 0.83 ± 0.03 | 1.08 ± 0.01 |
| | | Ethyl acetate | 1.07 ± 0.02 | 1.59 ± 0.05 |
| | | Ethanol | 0.23 ± 0.03 | 0.75 ± 0.05 |
| | | Ethyl acetate: n-hexane (1:1) | 0.42 ± 0.03 | 0.87 ± 0.01 |
| | | Ethyl acetate: Ethanol (1:1) | 1.16 ± 0.05 | 2.48 ± 0.03 |
| | | n-Hexane: Ethanol (4:3) | 1.08 ± 0.05 | 2.2 ± 0.05 |
| 2 | Methanol extraction | Methanol | 0.15 ± 0.02 | 0.90 ± 0.1 |
| 3 | Acetone - Petroleum ether extraction | Acetone - Petroleum ether | 0.10 ± 0.02 | 0.52 ± 0.02 |
| 4 | Ethyl Acetate Extraction | Ethyl Acetate | 0.87 ± 0.05 | 3.84 ± 0.04 |

Each value represents mean ± SD; FW represents Fresh Weight

| Table 2. Comparison of Lycopene content between extraction of lycopene from tomato paste and tomato powder samples. |
|---|---|---|
| **Solvent** | **Lycopene using Tomato Paste(mg/100g FW)** | **Lycopene using Tomato Powder (mg/100g FW)** |
| Ethyl Acetate | 0.87 | 1.07 |
| Acetone- petroleum ether | 1.83 | 0.1 |
| Hexane- ethanol- Acetone | 6.76 | 1.08 |
| Methanol | -- | 0.14 |
to be much more effective. Since hexane is the only component of the mixture with a high affinity for lycopene, it follows that acetone and ethanol must play some auxiliary role in the overall extraction process. Considering that the tomato paste used had a lycopene content of 6.76 mg per 100 g FW, recoveries with the mixture hexane: ethanol: acetone (2:1:1) as the solvent (Table 2).

Lycopene content in tomato powder with methanol extract and acetone petroleum extract were 0.15 mg/100 g and 0.10 mg/100 g fresh weight respectively.

Results of the total phenolic content presented in Table 3. When Gallic acid was used as a reference standard the higher amount of total phenolic content (61.34 mg/100 g FW) was found in ethanol solvent extract. The lower amount of total phenolic content (3.86 mg/100 g) was found in hexane extract but a mixture of hexane-ethanol (4:3) increases the total phenolic contents (31.65 mg/100 g FW). The amount of total phenolic content in other solvent extracts like Petroleum ether, ethyl acetate, ethyl acetate: n-hexane (1:1) and ethyl acetate: ethanol (1:1) were 9.53, 19.02, 51.04, and 9.23 mg/100g FW respectively. The total phenolic content in tomato extract depends on the type of extract, i.e. the polarity of solvent used in extraction. High solubility of phenols in polar solvents provides high concentration of these compounds in the extracts obtained using polar solvents for the extraction [14, 22].

Table 3. Total phenolic contents in tomato using different extraction methods.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>Methods Name</th>
<th>Extracts</th>
<th>Total phenolic content (mg GAE/100 g FW)</th>
<th>Total phenolic content (mg TAE/100 g FW)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Soxhlet extraction</td>
<td>Petroleum Ether</td>
<td>9.53 ± 0.13</td>
<td>10.46 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>n-hexane</td>
<td>3.86 ± 0.06</td>
<td>4.3 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ethyl Acetate</td>
<td>19.02 ± 0.03</td>
<td>20.91 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ethanol</td>
<td>61.34 ± 0.08</td>
<td>71.29 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ethyl acetate: n-hexane (1:1)</td>
<td>51.04 ± 0.04</td>
<td>94.53 ± 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ethyl acetate: Ethanol (1:1)</td>
<td>9.23 ± 0.07</td>
<td>10.12 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>n-Hexane: Ethanol (4:3)</td>
<td>31.65 ± 0.05</td>
<td>118.08 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ethyl acetate extraction</td>
<td>Ethyl acetate</td>
<td>12.24 ± 0.05</td>
<td>14.73 ± 0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Methanol extraction</td>
<td>Methanol</td>
<td>10.47 ± 0.08</td>
<td>11.24 ± 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Acetone petroleum ether extraction</td>
<td>Acetone petroleum ether</td>
<td>6.94 ± 0.05</td>
<td>7.62 ± 0.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1= Gallic Acid Equivalent, 2 = Tannic Acid Equivalent; the values are means ± SD of three replicates.

Figure 1. Standard calibration curve for the determination of total phenolic contents using Gallic acid as a reference standard.
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Figure 2. Standard calibration curve for the determination of total phenolic using tannic acid as a reference standard.
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On the other hand, when tannic acid was used as a reference standard the mixture of n-hexane and ethanol (4:3) extract contained higher total phenolic content (118.08 mg/100 g FW) than other solvent extracts. The lower amount of total phenolic content (4.3 mg/100 g FW) was found in n-hexane extract but a mixture of ethyl acetate and n-hexane (1:1) increases the total phenolic contents (94.53 mg/100 g FW). The amount of total phenolic content in other solvent extracts like Petroleum ether, ethyl acetate, ethyl acetate: n-hexane (1:1) and ethyl acetate: ethanol (1:1) was 10.46, 20.91, 71.29, and 10.12 mg/100 g FW respectively.

Conclusions

Results obtained from this study revealed that the highest lycopene content (6.76 mg%) in tomato fruits was found in hexane: ethanol: aceton (2:1:1) solvent extract using hexane: ethanol aceton solvent extraction method. This method can be used for the extraction of lycopene from other sources. Highest amount of β-carotene (3.84 mg%) in tomato fruits was found in ethyl acetate extract using ethyl acetate extraction method and total phenolic contents (118.08 mg%) was in soxhlet extract using soxhlet extraction method. This type of work will improve the quality of nutraceutical products, value added agricultural products as well as helpful to establish an industry based on the use of abundant surplus of tomato fruits and tomato processing waste in Bangladesh.
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