Nutritional and Safety Evaluation of Heat-Stabilized Rice Bran for Supplementary Feeding of Malnourished Children in Kenya
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Abstract

Rice bran is a by-product of rice milling that has been primarily used in animal feed negating its potential for human consumption. The aim of this study was to evaluate the application of rice bran to aid in managing infant and childhood malnutrition. Rice bran samples were collected from Kenya (Mwea) and U.S. (Ribran 300, RiceBran Technologies™) for nutritional, heavy metals and microbial analysis. Reference values for rice bran from the USDA nutrient database were included for comparison. Additionally, seven supplementary foods containing maize, soya bean, rice bran and sugar (CSR) for 12-24 month old moderately malnourished children were formulated using nutritional software programs. A 100g of Mwea rice bran contained 349 kcal, 20g dietary fibre, 19g fat, 13g protein, 7.5mg iron and 4.6mg zinc. A 100g of Ribran 300 comprised of 359 kcal, 25g dietary fibre, 22g fat, 14g protein, 9.7mg iron and 5.3mg zinc. Mwea rice bran had 0.12ppm total arsenic while Ribran 300 had 0.86ppm total arsenic. Both rice brans tested negative for E. coli O-157 and Salmonella spp. The computer simulated CSRs showed that nutrient density increased as rice bran was included ranging from 1 - 30g/day serving. The retail cost of the CSRs was 38-46 % lower than Corn-Soya-Blend plus (super cereal) and 65-70% lower than Corn-Soya-Blend plus plus (Super cereal plus plus) supplementary foods available in Kenya. These findings indicate that rice bran has the potential to be a nutritious, safe and affordable food ingredient that enhances food and nutrition security.
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Introduction

Global rice production figures indicate that rice is an important source of calories and other important phytonutrients for human health [1, 2]. The 2014-2015 Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) paddy forecast showed a production of 745 million tonnes of rice paddy which translates to 497 million tonnes of milled rice [3]. This is a 2% increase from the 2012-2013 amounts [4]. Even though there has been reports of stagnant rice production in some African countries, Kenya experienced an upward trend of rice production since 1960 [5]. Rice is one of the staple foods in Kenya primarily grown under irrigation. Kenya produces about 50,000 metric tonnes (MT) of white rice, while the annual consumption is roughly 300,000 MT [6]. To cover the deficit, Kenya imports a majority of rice from Asian countries, such as India and Thailand [7].

Although there is growing evidence for health benefits of whole grain brown rice, most countries around the world consume milled white rice [8, 9]. Rice bran is an agricultural by-product of rice milling and it constitutes ~10% of the total whole grain rice [10, 11]. Kenya's current production of white rice leads to 5,000 MT of rice bran produced per year, most of which is utilized as animal feed and has therefore not received attention by advocates of food and nutrition security programmes. A 2012 report on rice production incentives and disincentives in Kenya [7] and the FAO Rice Monitor reports failed to include any information on rice bran [3, 4]. Rice bran is a rich source of nutrients and phytochemicals with varied health benefits and could directly tackle malnutrition, across the lifespan, especially in young Kenyan children [1, 2].

Biochemical components that are important for promoting nutrition and gut health have been identified in bran across geographically different rice varieties, including oligosaccharides, non-starch carbohydrates (e.g. arabinoxylan and β-glucagon), phenolics (e.g. ferulic acid), specific fatty acids, vitamin E isomers, γ-oryzanol and sterols [9, 13, 14]. Rice bran consumption has been shown to increase probiotic gut microbes among humans, as well as branched chain fatty acids and secondary bile acids that are life supporting bio-components [2]. Additionally, rice bran has induced microbial
While there has been extensive research on the health improvements and disease fighting properties from eating rice bran in adult and animal studies, little is known about how rice bran can be utilized in nutritional interventions aimed to prevent or even correct faltered growth in children. Malnutrition, as measured by growth, is a global challenge with stunting affecting an average of 27% of children under 5 years of age in low or middle-income countries [18], and Kenya falls within that average [12]. Levels of stunting are highest at ages between 12-24 months [12]. Growth faltering may be a result of inadequate nutrition and/or associated pathological effects of the gut [19]. Stunting has been associated with impaired gut microbiota [18] and chronic inflammation [20, 21], and exists in low income countries with compromised levels of safe water, sanitation and health [22]. To mitigate malnutrition, infant and young children feeding practices should include food ingredients that will not only help meet basic nutrition, but also promote gut health and immune system support during the supplementary feeding period. This will even be more critical to moderately malnourished who require supplementary feeding to ensure quick recovery and prevent cases of malnutrition relapse. Preferably, food ingredients for supplementary feeding should be locally produced, readily available and affordable [23]. Currently, the World Food Programme (WFP) has promoted corn-soy-blends (CSB) which are food supplements utilized to manage moderate acute malnutrition (MAM), but these food blends are not sustainable due to total cost and availability [24]. We hypothesise that locally produced Kenyan rice bran can be a sustainable food ingredient that can aid in managing childhood malnutrition.

The objective of this paper was to evaluate the nutritional and safety profiles, as well as the cost of rice bran obtained from large and small-scale commercial millers in Kenya and compare to a United States (U.S.) commercial rice bran. We also evaluated the nutritional values and cost of novel rice bran based supplementary foods formulated using computer-based software programs as food models for MAM management among 12-24 month old children.

Materials and Methods

Rice Bran Collection and Stabilization

Rice bran was collected from three commercial millers within Mwea rice irrigation scheme located in Mwea District of Kirinyaga County in Central Kenya. The bran was freshly milled (within 1 hr) and was collected during polishing in clean polythene bags. The bran was from a mixed variety of the Basmati long grain rice grown in this location. The bran was heat stabilized within two hours of milling by heating in a microwave at 100°C for 5 minutes. It was then cooled to room temperature, re-packed in airtight containers and stored in a dry, cool place with minimal temperature fluctuations. Commercial heat-stabilized rice bran from the U.S., (Ribran 300), was donated from Rice Bran Technologies™ (Scottsdale, AZ). This is a human food grade ingredient, sold as a nutritional supplement and for animal nutrition. The samples were stored in a cool, dry place until analysis. The retail cost information for the bran varieties was obtained directly from the millers.

Nutritional, Microbial and Heavy Metal Analysis

All nutritional, microbial and heavy metal laboratory tests were completed using standardized and published methods at IEH-Warren Analytical Laboratory, (Greeley, CO). The nutritional tests included moisture by air oven drying AOAC 950.46 method, protein by AOAC 992.15/992.23/992.15 (LECO) method, total fat by AOAC 954.44 method, ash by AOAC 920.153 method, total carbohydrates was estimated by difference calculation method (CH029.01), dietary fibre by AOAC 991.43 method, sugars by AOAC 980.13/WRE 004 method, iron by WRE 063 method, zine by AOAC 2011.14 method, saturated fat by AOAC 996.06 method, trans-fat by AOAC 996.06 method, calcium by EPA 6020 method, sodium by WRE 063 method, vitamin A by WRE 054 method, vitamin C by AOAC 984.26/WRE017 method and calories were calculated using Arwater factors [25]. Salmonella spp. was tested by AOAC-RI PTM 100701 method, total enteric count by AOAC 2003.01 (MB219.02) method, yeasts and mold count by AOAC 997.02 (MB258) method and E. coli O157 was tested by AOAC-RI PTM 100701. Heavy metals arsenic, cadmium, lead were tested by ETA 620 method.

Simulation Modelling of Rice Bran Supplementary Foods

Corn-Soy-Rice bran (CSR) blends targeting MAM 12-24 months old were modelled using Nutrisurvey™ (Hohenheim, Germany) and Nutritionists Pro™ (Redwood, WA, USA) software programs. The formulations were optimized by linear programming of Nutrisurvey using the nutrition restrictions based on WHO guidelines [26, 27] and proposed energy density and daily consumption amounts for complementary foods [28, 29] to achieve highest nutritional value at the most affordable cost possible. The CSR blends were modelled to mimic corn-soy-blends (CSB), which are considered the golden standard for management of MAM that is currently distributed by the World Food Programme (WFP) and other humanitarian organizations. The nutritional profiles of the model CSR blends were compared to CSB+ (Super cereal) [30] and CSB++ (Super cereal plus) [31].

The ingredients of the CSR modelled foods included whole white corn, whole soya bean, heat-stabilized rice bran, and sugar. All the ingredients, except rice bran, are the core ingredients in CSB supplementary foods.

Cost Estimation of the Simulated Foods

The cost of ingredients was based on the prevailing Kenyan markets in Mwea market of Mwea District at the time of sample collection. Using the linear programming module of the Nutrisurvey™ software, cost of the food ingredients was calculated for a daily serving. The final retail cost of each CSR blend was calculated by addition of 50% of the cost of ingredients to cover production, packaging, indirect costs and profit [32, 33].

Data Analysis and Presentation

Nutritional values were compared to values in the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) nutrient database [34] for crude rice bran, while microbial and heavy metal levels were compared to set regulations for foods by United States Food and Drug
Administration (FDA), USA, United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Kenya Bureau of Standards (KEBS). Nutrient values of the modelled CSR blends were compared to Daily Recommended Intakes (DRI) for 12-24 month old children [35, 36]. Production and retail costs of the CSR blends was compared to the costs of CSB+ [30] and CSB++ [31].

Results and Discussion

Rice Bran Nutrients, Heavy Metals, Microbial Contents and Cost

Selected nutrient composition of the U.S. and Kenyan rice bran samples were compared to USDA values for crude rice bran (Table 1). Similarities in the nutritional profiles were found between the Mwea and RiBran 300 rice brans. Clear differences were detected in the energy content with the RiBran 300 having 359.85 Kcal/100g and the USDA value being 316Kcal/100g. Dietary fibre values ranged between 19.8 - 25.8g/100g supporting that rice bran could provide a natural source of dietary fibre in human diet. The total carbohydrate content was similar across the three rice bran samples. Rice bran is also a rich source of fat (18.98 - 22.47g/100g) with ~4.06g/100g being saturated fats. The protein content ranged from 13.35g/100g in USDA value to 14.43g/100g in RiBran 300. The levels of ash reported (8.23 - 9.98g/100g) is an indication that rice bran could be a source of minerals that could be of public health significance. USDA rice bran value for iron (18.54mg/100g) was two times higher than the values in Mwea (7.50mg/100g) and RiBran 300 (9.70mg/100g). The rice brans were rich in iron and could possibly be used in the management of iron deficiency anaemia, which is endemic in most developing countries [37]. In Kenya, pre-school children are a high risk group [38]. The USDA did not report any values for Vitamin A, however, Mwea and RiBran 300 rice bran samples measured 280

Table 1. Nutritional quality of heat-stabilized rice bran collected from two different geographical regions, compared to USDA database.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component (100g)</th>
<th>Mwea</th>
<th>RiBran 300</th>
<th>USDA*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proximate</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moisture (g)</td>
<td>6.75</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>6.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy (kcal)</td>
<td>349.22</td>
<td>359.85</td>
<td>316</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total carbohydrate (g)</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>49.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dietary fibre (g)</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>25.8</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sugar (g)</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein (g)</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>14.43</td>
<td>13.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total fat (g)</td>
<td>18.98</td>
<td>22.47</td>
<td>20.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saturated fat (g)</td>
<td>4.06</td>
<td>3.59</td>
<td>4.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ash (g)</td>
<td>9.13</td>
<td>8.23</td>
<td>9.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Minerals and vitamins (100g)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium (mg/100g)</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium (mg/100g)</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron (mg/100g)</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>18.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc (mg/100g)</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin A (IU/100g)</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin C (mg/100g)</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*USDA nutrient database [34]

Table 2. Heavy metal and microbial safety and cost of heat-stabilized rice bran collected from two different geographical regions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Mwea</th>
<th>RiBran 300</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Heavy Metals</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arsenic (ppm)</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cadmium (ppm)</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead (ppm)</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Microorganisms</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total enteric count (CFU/g)</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Salmonella spp.</em></td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>E. Coli</em> O-157</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yeast and mold (CFU/g)</td>
<td>4300</td>
<td>&lt;100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cost</strong> (US$/Kg)</td>
<td>0.12 – 0.15</td>
<td>1.33 – 1.62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FDA and Kenya Bureau of Standards (KEBS) regulations: *Salmonella* and *E-Coli* - Nil, Yeast and molds in foods - <100,000 CFU/g
IU/100g of vitamin A. 
Cadmium and lead were below 0.1ppm in Mwea and RiBran 300 rice brans (Table 2). However, Mwea rice bran had 0.12ppm of total arsenic, while RiBran 300 rice bran had 0.86ppm of arsenic. We have not come across FDA recommendations on arsenic in rice bran, however data in this study show that total heavy metal contents from Kenyan rice bran is lower compared to rice bran from the US. Rice accumulates more arsenic than other cereal crops with brown rice having higher levels than polished rice [39]. 

In a study on rice bran from Japan, arsenic levels ranged from 0.71-1.98ppm [40] signifying that the challenge is global. Heat-stabilized bran was microbiologically safe with the indicator microorganism tested being below required limits in foods. Salmonella spp. and E-coli O-157 were not detected in any of the samples. Both FDA and KEBS recommend that no colony forming units of Salmonella spp. or E-coli O-157 should be detected in foods; hence the rice bran samples in this study were within limits. Both FDA and KEBS recommend that yeasts and mold count should be less than 100,000CFU/g in foods and this data support that these rice bran samples were within the recommended limit.

The cost of Mwea rice bran was lowest (0.12 - 0.15 US$/Kg) compared to RiBran 300 from the USA (1.33 – 1.62 US$/Kg). The price of the bran is also lower compared to maize in the Kenyan market, which retails at about 0.50 US$/kg. This is an indicator that rice bran could be a sustainable food resource nutritionally and economically.

Development of Novel Rice Bran Supplementary Foods

Figure 1 shows the ingredients of the CSR blends (CSR1, CSR2, CSR3, CSR4, CSR5, CSR6 and CSR7) and their corresponding nutritional label. The rice bran content increased sequentially from CSR1 (1g/125g daily ration) to CSR7 (30g/125g daily ration), while reducing the maize portion of each formulation proportionately.

In CSB+ and CSB++ formulations, maize is currently used as a source of energy from carbohydrates, soya bean is included as a source of protein, vitamins and minerals, while sugar is incorporated to enhance flavour. In CSR formulations, rice bran does not only contribute energy from carbohydrates, but also provides important nutrients including dietary fibre, protein, fat, and minerals. Soya bean and sugar content remained constant in all CSR formulations. All of the ingredients were derived from whole grains to improve the dietary fibre content of the supplementary foods. A daily ration of 125g CSR was found to provide a range of 448-461kcal/d, dietary fibre 9.1-13.1g/day and 6.0-10.4mg/day of iron. All nutrients, except for energy and total carbohydrates, increased sequentially with increased rice bran content (Figure 1). These results show that rice bran is a major factor in influencing the final nutritional profile of CSR blends.

Meeting the DRI with CSR Blends

Figure 2 illustrates the fulfilment of Daily Recommended Intakes (DRI) by each of the food blends for MAM 12-24 month old children. The foods were modelled to fulfil at least 50% of daily energy requirement. Breastfed children will be targeted in this dietary intervention and are expected to obtain 39% of their daily energy from breastmilk, while the remaining should come from complementary foods [28, 41]. It is also recommended that any supplementary food should provide at least 25% of the daily energy [42]. Since the children are malnourished, they will benefit from the high energy blends, which will increase their recovery. Even though CSB+ and CSB++ provided higher amounts of energy from breastmilk, while the remaining should come from complementary foods [28, 41]. It is also recommended that any supplementary food should provide at least 25% of the daily energy [42]. Since the children are malnourished, they will benefit from the high energy blends, which will increase their recovery. Even though CSB+ and CSB++ provided higher amounts of energy from breastmilk, while the remaining should come from complementary foods [28, 41]. It is also recommended that any supplementary food should provide at least 25% of the daily energy [42]. Since the children are malnourished, they will benefit from the high energy blends, which will increase their recovery. Even though CSB+ and CSB++ provided higher amounts of energy from breastmilk, while the remaining should come from complementary foods [28, 41]. It is also recommended that any supplementary food should provide at least 25% of the daily energy [42]. Since the children are malnourished, they will benefit from the high energy blends, which will increase their recovery. Even though CSB+ and CSB++ provided higher amounts of energy from breastmilk, while the remaining should come from complementary foods [28, 41]. It is also recommended that any supplementary food should provide at least 25% of the daily energy [42]. Since the children are malnourished, they will benefit from the high energy blends, which will increase their recovery. Even though CSB+ and CSB++ provided higher amounts of energy from breastmilk, while the remaining should come from complementary foods [28, 41]. It is also recommended that any supplementary food should provide at least 25% of the daily energy [42]. Since the children are malnourished, they will benefit from the high energy blends, which will increase their recovery. Even though CSB+ and CSB++ provided higher amounts of energy from breastmilk, while the remaining should come from complementary foods [28, 41]. It is also recommended that any supplementary food should provide at least 25% of the daily energy [42]. Since the children are malnourished, they will benefit from the high energy blends, which will increase their recovery. Even though CSB+ and CSB++ provided higher amounts of energy from breastmilk, while the remaining should come from complementary foods [28, 41]. It is also recommended that any supplementary food should provide at least 25% of the daily energy [42]. Since the children are malnourished, they will benefit from the high energy blends, which will increase their recovery. Even though CSB+ and CSB++ provided higher amounts of energy from breastmilk, while the remaining should come from complementary foods [28, 41]. It is also recommended that any supplementary food should provide at least 25% of the daily energy [42]. Since the children are malnourished, they will benefit from the high energy blends, which will increase their recovery. Even though CSB+ and CSB++ provided higher amounts of energy from breastmilk, while the remaining should come from complementary foods [28, 41]. It is also recommended that any supplementary food should provide at least 25% of the daily energy [42]. Since the children are malnourished, they will benefit from the high energy blends, which will increase their recovery. Even though CSB+ and CSB++ provided higher amounts of energy from breastmilk, while the remaining should come from complementary foods [28, 41]. It is also recommended that any supplementary food should provide at least 25% of the daily energy [42]. Since the children are malnourished, they will benefit from the high energy blends, which will increase their recovery. Even though CSB+ and CSB++ provided higher amounts of energy from breastmilk, while the remaining should come from complementary foods [28, 41]. It is also recommended that any supplementary food should provide at least 25% of the daily energy [42]. Since the children are malnourished, they will benefit from the high energy blends, which will increase their recovery. Even though CSB+ and CSB++ provided higher amounts of energy from breastmilk, while the remaining should come from complementary foods [28, 41]. It is also recommended that any supplementary food should provide at least 25% of the daily energy [42]. Since the children are malnourished, they will benefit from the high energy blends, which will increase their recovery. Even though CSB+ and CSB++ provided higher amounts of energy from breastmilk, while the remaining should come from complementary foods [28, 41]. It is also recommended that any supplementary food should provide at least 25% of the daily energy [42]. Since the children are malnourished, they will benefit from the high energy blends, which will increase their recovery. Even though CSB+ and CSB++ provided higher amounts of energy from breastmilk, while the remaining should come from complementary foods [28, 41]. It is also recommended that any supplementary food should provide at least 25% of the daily energy [42]. Since the children are malnourished, they will benefit from the high energy blends, which will increase their recovery.

Dietary fibre is a critical part of the diet, with regard to emerging research about enteropathy and gut health in the management of malnutrition. CSRs provide at least 50% of the DRI for dietary fibre for this age group and nutrition status. There are
discrepancies in fibre recommendations from Codex Alimentarius who recommend that supplementary food for older infants should not exceed 5g/100g of food [30, 31, 42]. CSR contains a range of 7.25 – 10.48g/100g of dietary fibre per day from the supplementary food. However, the values still obtained from the foods only meet 47-69% of DRI. Due to the Codex Alimentarius restrictions, CSB+ and CSB++ achieve much less dietary fibre DRI. Iron and zinc are micronutrients of public health concern in the developing countries. CSR provides a range of 64.2-93.9% of zinc DRI and 100.7-173.2% of iron DRI. The DRI percent increases as the rice bran ratio increases. It is recommended that fortified food blends meet at least 75% of micronutrients [42] to prevent undernutrition. All blends, except CSR1, CSR2 and CSR3, met the minimum requirement for zinc and iron. CSB+ and CSB++ are fortified with micronutrients, yet CSR4, CSR5, CSR6, and CSR7 provided more iron without extrinsic iron fortification. Rice bran can therefore be used as a source of iron and zinc, as well as energy, protein and fat for supplementary feeding in line with recommendation of WHO/UNICEF to use low cost, locally available foods to manage malnutrition [23].

There is dearth of information on how best to treat MAM in infants and young children in a cost effective way, especially among the consumers of cereal dominated diets [43]. This is due to inadequate information on the nutritional adequacy of the foods as a result of the inherent anti-nutritional factors that may hinder bioavailability of some nutrients. There are arguments that the mineral bioavailability in cereal-based supplementary foods may be lowered by anti-nutrients, such as phytates, due to its chelating effect on minerals [29, 41]. To minimize this effect, some studies have shown improved micronutrient status upon dephytinization of cereal grains [44-46], addition of mineral absorption enhancers such as ascorbic acid [47], addition of animal source foods as enhancers of non-heme iron from cereals [48, 49] or micronutrient fortification [50, 51]. However, a systematic review identified large variations in absorption of iron between human studies signifying the need to further study the influence of phytates on minerals absorption if the findings of the studies are to be extrapolated to developing countries and to humans across the lifespan [52]. It is therefore necessary to determine the phytic acid content in the CSRs and further evaluate how the phytates from rice bran could influence the bioavailability of the minerals in the CSRs.

Figure 3 shows the proportion of energy that should be provided by each macronutrient in a food. All the foods fulfilled the requirement for carbohydrates and protein as recommended for 12-24 month old children. However, none of the CSR foods met the recommendation energy requirement from fat, which is proposed
to be 24-28% of total energy [28]. The developed supplementary foods ranged from 12% in CSR1 to 21% in CSR7. CSB+ and CSB++ achieved 17% and 21% of fat energy, respectively. Meeting this energy requirement is a challenge for a flour-based product since high fat content affects processibility, as well as the shelf life of the final blend. To achieve this recommendation, the caregivers would be encouraged to add margarine to the porridge when serving to the children. The oil can also be supplied separately from the flour and only added at consumption.

Cost of the Blends

The cost of CSR’s was evaluated and compared to CSB+ and CSB++ (Figure 4). The ingredients’ cost of CSR’s ranged from 0.061 US$/125g daily ration in CSR7 to 0.070 US$/125g daily ration in CSR1. The retail costs of the blends also increased in a similar manner ranging between 0.092US$/125g daily ration and 0.105 US$/125g daily ration. The retail cost of CSB + and CSB ++ was 0.171 US$/125g daily ration and 0.306 US$/125g daily ration. The retail cost of the CSR’s was 65-70% lower than CSB++ and 38-46% lower than CSB+ supplementary foods available in Kenya. Vitamin/mineral micronutrient premix and skimmed milk powder are usually the major cost expenses in CSB+ and CSB++, which raised the total cost of ingredients and increased the retail cost of the foods. Rice bran is a low cost ingredient in the Kenya context. Rice bran could therefore be utilized to provide adequate nutrients at affordable costs to malnourished children.

Global food prices have been on the rise. The cost of staple foods such as maize have been affected [53] raising the cost of supplementary foods that are maize-based. There is therefore a need to substitute maize in dietary supplementary interventions to reduce malnutrition. Rice bran could be a possible alternative based on its current cost as evidenced by this study.

Comparison of Rice Bran Blends and Maize Nutrient Contribution in the Blends

This study showed that rice bran is nutrient dense and can be utilized as an ingredient in supplementary foods for MAM children. Maize is currently a major component of the supplementary foods. In Kenya, maize is one of the staple foods [6, 53, 54] and contributes the most significant amount of calories in this region [53] especially among young children. Together with soya bean, maize is the major ingredient in food-based strategies for management of acute malnutrition that has been supported by WFP. It is the main energy source, in addition to being a source of protein and fat. However, with a protein and fat of 9.42g/100g and 4.74g/100g, respectively [34], maize is nutritionally inferior to rice bran. Therefore rice bran merits evaluation for partially replacing maize in processing of supplementary foods for management of malnutrition. White maize has lower amounts of calcium, iron and zinc compared to rice bran and hence presents an opportunity to incorporate rice bran in supplementary feeding. However, rice bran is lower in vitamins such as vitamin C and vitamin A, and so fortification maybe evaluated during food processing to achieve adequate levels for growth and development.

Conclusion

Rice bran was found to be a promising nutrient dense food ingredient with applications for MAM management. Rice bran provides adequate nutrients that are of public health concern to the developing countries such as zinc and iron. Rice bran based supplementary foods using Kenyan rice bran would provide adequate nutrients for MAM 12-24 month old children. Rice bran can be consumed throughout the life span and incorporated into supplementary foods at an affordable cost. Increasing consumption of rice bran during complementary feeding period merits further study for decreasing long-term malnutrition effects at an affordable cost. The efficacy of the blends on the prevention or treatment of MAM in children needs to be evaluated.
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