Ragusana Donkey Milk as a Source of Lactic Acid Bacteria and Yeast Strains of Dairy Technological Interest
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Abstract

Donkey milk is considered an interesting substitute to cow’s milk thanks to its nutritional properties. The chemical composition of donkey milk has been extensively considered essential to prevent the growth of undesirable microorganisms, and few data are available on its microbiological characterization.

In the present study raw and cultured donkey milk samples were analyzed in order to characterize lactic acid bacteria and yeast populations. Both lactic acid bacteria and yeast isolated strains were identified using a combination of different molecular techniques and results were confirmed by sequence analysis of the 16S rDNA gene and ITS regions, respectively. Furthermore, technological traits of the strains were investigated.

The results indicated that dominant lactic acid bacteria strains were identified mainly as Lactococcus lactis subsp. lactis/cremoris and Lactobacillus paracasei. The strains showed both good coagulant and acidifying activities and 50% of them was able to grow in presence of 5.0 g/l of lysozyme. Among isolated yeast strains, the 70% was ascribed to the Kluyveromyces lactis species.

The results of present study indicated that Ragusana donkey milk is an interesting source of lactic acid bacteria and yeast strains of technological interest.
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Introduction

The interest for donkey milk (DM) is recently growing in terms of cultural and economical importance thanks to its functional proprieties and nutritional value. In Italy, the number of farms breeding donkeys has greatly increased and the most common breeds are Ragusana (2932 livestock), Amiata (2209 livestock), and Sarda (1922 livestock) [1]. DM is considered, among milks from non-bovine mammals, the most suitable for human consumption and its chemical composition is regarded as most similar to human milk [2]. In particular, for its protein profiles DM is recognized as a valid substitute for hypoallergenic formulas for children with both IgE-mediated and non-IgE mediated cow’s milk protein allergy [3, 4]. Furthermore, the low fat content and the high level of polyunsaturated fatty acids, makes the DM recommended for elderly and convalescent consumers [5, 6]. Moreover the high lactose content (60-65 g/l) is relevant for absorption of calcium, for preventing intestine infections and for extending its consumption among kids [7]. Several studies have focused on the content of functional components (bioactive compounds) and antimicrobial substances, such as lysozyme and lactoferrin. In particular, lysozyme plays a significant role in the intestinal immune response [8] and against several undesirable microorganisms.
Although in recent years a growing interest for microbial population of DM has been paid, it has mainly focused onto microbial safety issue.

The aim of the present study was to identify and characterize the dominant lactic acid bacteria (LAB) and yeast population of Ragusana DM through a combination of different molecular techniques, in order to study its microbial heritage and to select strains of technological interest.

**Materials and Methods**

**Sampling**

Milk was obtained from the a farm located in Catania, Sicily (Italy). Milk was collected by mechanical milking, from Ragusana breed donkeys, at middle lactation stage [8].

Nine raw milk samples (RM), 300 ml each, were collected in three consecutive weeks. Samples, transported into the laboratory of Di3A, were split into two aliquots: one was immediately subjected to microbiological analysis, the other one was incubated at 37°C for 24 h, obtaining the cultured milk (CM) samples.

**Microbiological analyses**

DM samples were serially diluted into quarter-strength Ringer Solution (Oxoid, Ltd, Basingstoke, UK) and plated on: Plate Count Agar (PCA) medium (Oxoid) plus cycloheximide (4 mg/l) (Fluka, Milan, Italy), incubated at 30°C for 48 h, for aerobic mesophilic bacteria; Man Rogosa and Sharpe (MRS) medium (pH 5.6) plus cycloheximide (100 mg/l), anaerobically (using Anaerogen kit) incubated at 37°C for 24-48 h, for lactobacilli; LM17 agar medium, digested with 20% (v/v) of glycerol at -20°C.

Microbial counts were performed in triplicate for each sample and results were reported as log10 cfu/ml.

**Microbial isolation**

In order to isolate the dominant LAB and yeast strains, from both RM and CM samples, 10 colonies were randomly selected from the highest dilution plates of MRS, LM17, and SDA media and purified by re-streaking three times on the same media.

**LAB isolation:** Thirty-two isolates were supposed as LAB, based on Gram reaction, motility, catalase activity and no spore formation. The strains were stored in liquid cultures in 20% glycerol at -80°C.

**Yeast isolation:** From SDA plates 50 isolates were microscopically selected for cell morphology and strains were maintained at 25°C for 24-48h, for lactococci & streptococci; Sabouraud Dextrose Agar, containing 20% (v/v) of glycerol at -80°C. The reference/type strains were cultivated in MRS at 30°C; strains belonging to Lactobacillus casei, Lactobacillus paraplanatarum, Lactobacillus plantarum, and Lactobacillus rhamnosus in MRS at 30°C; Lactobacillus lactis in LM17 at 30°C and Streptococcus thermophilus in LM17 at 37°C in anaerobic conditions.

**Genotypic identification of isolates**

**LAB identification:** Genomic DNA of LAB strains was extracted from overnight cultures (approximately 8 log cfu/ml). Cultures were centrifuged at 12,000 x g for 5 min (Eppendorf 5810R, Hamburg, Germany), the pellets were suspended in TE buffer (1.0 M Tris-HCl, 0.5 M EDTA, pH 8.0) and then a phenol/chloroform procedure was carried out [9]. Strain identification was performed by RFLP of amplified tRNA 58-23S rDNA [10]. Briefly, Intergenic Spacer Region (ISR) sequences were amplified using RNA 58 and 23S/p10 primers (Ebsberg, Germany) and digested with HindIII, HinfI and TaqI enzymes [10]. Two additional widely used band profile-based fingerprinting methods, such as the PI RAPD and (GTG)5 repetitive extragenomic palindromic PCR (rep-PCR), were applied [10].

**Yeast identification:** The yeast isolates were identified by PCR/RFLP of the internal transcribed spacer (ITS) regions [11]. In details, strains were overnight grown in YPD medium (g/l distilled water: yeast extract, 10; peptone, 10; dextrose, 20) (Oxoid) at 28°C under vigorous agitation. DNA was extracted according to Platania et al. [12]. Purified DNA was suspended in a solution containing MyTaq™ Mix (Bioline, London, UK). The rDNA ITS regions were amplified by the ITS1 (5'-TCCGATGTAAGAC-CCTGCGG-3') and ITS4 (5'-TCTCCGCTTATTGATATGC-3') primers, in a Personal Thermocycler (Whatman Biometra, Germany), programmed as follows: 94°C for 3 min, 30 cycles of 94°C for 30 s, 55°C for 30 s, 72°C for 1 min, and 72°C for 5 min. Aliquots of the PCR-amplified products (5-10 μl) were separately digested with HhaI, HaeIII, HinfI, MspI and RsaI enzymes (New England BioLabs, Beverly, MA) in a final volume of 20 μl. Restriction fragments were quantified in a 2.0% Agrose D1 LE (Conda, Torrejón de Ardoz, Madrid, Spain) gel containing GelRed Nucleic Acid Gel Stain (Biotium Inc., Hayward, CA).

**Sequence analysis**

To confirm the species attribution the 16S rRNA gene and the ITS regions were sequenced [13, 14]. The sequences were compared to those present in public data libraries GenBank and EzTaxon using the BLAST search program (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/BLAST/; http://ezegenome.ezbiocloud.net/ezg_BLAST).

**Technological characterization of isolated strains**

LAB strains were overnight pre-cultured at 32°C in MRS broth for technological characterization. The ability to grow at 4, 15,
and 45°C was monitored in MRS broth by optical density readings at 620 nm after 5, 3, and 2 days, respectively. The ability to acidify sterile skim milk powder (reconstituted at 100 g/l) containing 0.1% of Yeast Extract (Oxoid, Italy) was determined by a pH-meter (Eutech Instruments, XSPH 510, The Netherlands) after 8 h of incubation at 32°C. The coagulating activity was visually evaluated by monitoring the appearance of a coagulum on the inner site of a glass tube containing 10% (w/v) of skim milk powder. The ability to grow at different lysisosome concentrations was determined in MRS medium containing lysozyme at 1.5, 2.5 or 5.0 g/l (Sigma Chemical Co., Milan, Italy) after 24 h of incubation at 32°C, in anaerobic conditions.

Regarding yeast, the vegetative reproduction (by multilateral, bipolar or unipolar budding, by fission, by forming filaments) was observed after incubation in Yeast Dextrose Peptide and Malt Yeast Glucose Peptone media [15]. Growth at 25, 32, 37, and 42°C was visually determined after 2 and 4 days of incubation.

**Statistical analyses**

All microbial counts were reported as average values and Standard Deviations. Statistical ANOVA and Duncan tests were performed using XLSTAT PRO 5.7 (Addinsoft, New York, USA). Statistical ANOVA analysis was carried out to determine differences among samples for each microbial group.

LAB genotyping data were analyzed exporting TIFF files from the Odyssey scanner into the pattern analysis software package BioNumerics (package version 5.1; Applied Maths, BVBA, Sint-Martens-Latem, Belgium). Using the “composite data set” of the software, isolate rDNA-RFLP band profiles, obtained by HindIII, HinfI and TaqI digestions, were combined with profiles of the internal database comprising band profiles from the 85 reference strains obtaining a unique dendrogram. The identification of LAB strains was determined by strain cluster association and a single dendrogram was obtained also from RAPD and rep-PCR fingerprinting. DNA patterns were analyzed through the unpaired group method with arithmetic average (UPGMA). Calculation of similarity of all fingerprinting profiles was based on Dice correlation coefficient.

**Results and Discussion**

**Microbiological analysis**

The mean microbial counts (log cfu/ml), detected both in raw milk (RM) and in cultured milk (CM) samples, at the three consecutive weeks, and after incubation at 37°C for 24 h, respectively, are reported in Figure 1. Mesophilic aerobic bacteria exhibited an average value of approximately 3.3 log cfu/ml in RM samples, in compliance to the Commission Regulation (EC) No 1662 [16], and in agreement with previous studies [17, 18]. These results confirm that the mesophilic aerobic bacteria values in DM are lower than those reported for bovine or sheep milk [19, 20] (Conte et al., 2004; Cavallarin et al., 2015), probably for the presence of antimicrobial substance [21, 22].

Lactobacilli counts showed significant differences with an average value of about 2.0 log cfu/ml in RM samples, and 6.0 log cfu/ml in CM samples (Figure 1). Lactococci and streptococci counts were always higher than lactobacilli, according to Carminati et al. [23]. These results could be correlated to the higher resistance of thermophilic cocci-LAB to lysozyme [24]. The final pH value, in CM samples, dropped from 7.12±0.08 to 5.28±0.03, confirming previous reports [17, 20].

The mean values of yeast counts were 2.0 and 4.5 log cfu/ml, in RM and CM samples, respectively (Figure 1), in agreement with Coppola et al. [21] and in contrast to Šarić et al. [25] that did not find yeast in any of analyzed DM samples. The higher yeast density in DM, compared to those detected in cow’s milk, confirmed that lysozyme does not affect the yeast growth [17, 21].

**Genotypic identification of isolates**

A total of 32 LAB strains were selected from both MRS and LM17 media, both from RM and CM samples.

**LAB identification using the tRNAAla-23S rDNA-RFLP:** In this work, the affiliation level of isolated LAB species was assessed using the tRNAAla-23S rDNA-RFLP fingerprinting method [10]. The RFLP profiles from the amplified ISR portion were assessed using the tRNAAla-23S rDNA-RFLP band profiles, obtained by HindIII, HinfI and TaqI digestions, were combined with profiles of the internal database comprising band profiles from the 85 reference strains obtaining a unique dendrogram. The identification of LAB strains was determined by strain cluster association and a single dendrogram was obtained also from RAPD and rep-PCR fingerprinting. DNA patterns were analyzed through the unpaired group method with arithmetic average (UPGMA). Calculation of similarity of all fingerprinting profiles was based on Dice correlation coefficient.

**Figure 1. Microbial log counts (expressed as mean of log cfu/ml) and standard deviations (SD). Mean values of three independent milk samples before (RM1, RM2 and RM3) and after incubation at 37°C for 24 h (CM1, CM2 and CM3).**

**Coppola et al. [21]**
Figure 2. tRNA^_Ala^–23S rDNA-RFLP UPGMA dendrogram, derived from RFLP profiles of LAB strains isolated from DM and database strains. Cluster comprising donkey LAB, are evidenced by bold numbers.
clustered with the set of “reference database” LAB dairy strains, using the BioNumerics software, obtaining a unique dendrogram (Figure 2). Four genotype clusters were clearly formed (cluster 1, 2, 3 and 4) (Figure 2).

Four LAB strains (AMBL23, -29, -4 and -25), at the similarity level of 64.3%, were grouped in cluster 1, without any association with the internal database strains. Even if they belong to the biggest Enterococcus dendrogram branch (50.1% similarity level), they showed higher similarity to E. italicus reference strain rather than to E. faecium.

The strains AMBL13 and -7 were clustered together with L. plantarum into cluster 2. Seventeen isolates were included in cluster 3, together with Lc. lactis subsp. lactis/cremoris GP-type strains, with a similarity level of 59%, while the AMBL11 strain could be considered in the same cluster. Eight strains formed the independent cluster 4, which did not match to any reference strains. In order to confirm the species affiliation, 13 strains, representing each clusters, were subjected to the 16S rRNA sequencing (Table 1). Results revealed that all strains from cluster 1 were identified as E. faecium, while the AMBL13 (cluster 2), was identified as L. plantarum subsp. plantarum. Strains of cluster 3, including the AMBL11, were identified as Lc. lactis subsp. lactis, and strains of cluster 4 as L. paracasei. In order to overcome the sequence identification limits between L. casei and L. plantarum groups, specific and multiplex PCRs were performed. The results mirrored the sequencing data (data not shown). Cluster 4 remained distant from the L. casei/rhamnus reference strain cluster, at the similarity value of 48%.

**LAB characterization using P1 RAPD and (GTG)5 rep-PCR:** In order to find out about interspecies diversity and single species biotype composition for each LAB cluster, RAPD-PCR and (GTG)5 rep-PCR were carried out. RAPD-PCR is the most widely used method for LAB characterization in dairy products [13, 26] and the Rep-PCR is often applied for typing biotypes belonging to the same species [27, 28]. P1 RAPD and (GTG)5 rep-PCR fingerprinting profiles of the Lc. lactis strains were com-

![Figure 3. UPGMA dendrogram derived from P1 RAPD and (GTG) 5 rep-PCR fingerprinting patterns of the Lc. lactis database strains and identified Lc. lactis isolated from DM. Considered clusters are evidenced by bold numbers.](http://scidoc.org/IJDPR.php)
binned and analysed with profiles of the *Lc. lactis* reference internal strains and five clusters were obtained, revealing that most *Lc. lactis* strains (fifteen) were grouped in two clusters (2 and 5) distinct from reference internal strains and from the GP strains (Figure 3). Moreover, the AMBL9, -10, -11 strains were grouped in cluster 3 together with the reference strain *Lc. lactis* subsp. cremoris LMG9458 (Figure 3). Even if this approach did not allow to discriminate between the subspecies *lactis/cremoris*, it revealed a high degree of intra-species heterogeneity. Within *Lc. lactis* the two genotype discrimination is still difficult and it has been reported that strains of subspecies *lactis* often show a *cremoris* genotype [29], and vice-versa [30]. Hence, the phenotypic and genetic relationships between and within the subspecies of *Lc. lactis* remain unclear.

Results of present study are inconsistent with previous identification of LAB in DM, reporting the presence of mesophilic lactic acidophilus *as L. paracasei, L. brevis, L. salivarius, and L. plantarum* [31]. The biotype analysis of the eight *L. casei* strains demonstrates that, except for the AMBL21 strain, the similarity with the reference strains was less than 38% (Figure 4).

LAB strains belonging to Lactobacillus genus have been divided in two groups, one attributed to *L. casei*, and one to *L. plantarum/L. paraplatinum*. Regarding the *L. casei* group low similarity level (48%) were detected. Although the isolation of *L. plantarum* from DM has been recently reported [31] in the present study it was not allowed the discrimination among *L. plantarum, L. plantarum* subsp. *plantarum* and *L. pentosus* species, as previously reported [10, 32].

The four strains identified as *E. faecium* (Figure 5) showed a different biotype affiliation, with a similarity of 52.7% to LMG strains, except the AMBL4 strain that remained distant (similarity of 38.6%). The last group of LAB belonging to *E. italicus* species, firstly isolated from artisanal Italian cheeses [33]. The presence of enterococci in dairy products has long been reported. Carminati et al. [23] correlated the presence of *E. faecalis* isolates in DM to their high resistance to lysozyme.

**Yeast identification**

Although the monitoring of fungi of technological interest for the cheese-making industry is considered relevant, few studies have focused on the composition of fungal communities in milk [34, 35].

In the present study 50 yeast strains were identified (Table 2). The PCR amplification of the 5.8 ITS regions yielded four amplicons, ranging from 530 to 740 bp, and subsequent digestion with *Hind*I, *Hpa*III, and *Hinf*I revealed 4 presumptive different species. The *Hinf*I enzyme discriminated between *Kluyveromyces marxianus* and *Kluyveromyces lactis* [36]. In addition, the *Msp*I enzyme ascribed 36 yeast strains to *Kluyveromyces lactis* species. The enzyme *Msp*I, generating a fragment from 740 to 300 bp, was used to discriminate *Candida albicans* from other species [37]. The species *K. lactis* (cluster 1), *Candida albicans* (cluster 2) and *Cryptococcus curvatus* (cluster 3) were identified by comparing the restriction fragments with those previously described [36-38]. Strains belonging to the cluster 4 showed a RFLP profile which did not match to any reported data. The ITS region sequencing confirmed the identification based on restriction analysis of the 5.8 ITS region, except for cluster 2 which was ascribed to the species *Trichosporon moniliforme*. In the case of cluster 4, the ITS region sequencing ascribed the 7 strains within the species *Candida parapsilosis* (98% of similarity).

Yeasts species detected in raw milk include *K. marxianus, K. lactis, Rhodotorula mucilaginosa, Debaryomyces Hansenii, Geotrichum candidum, ...
Table 1. Phenotypical and technological characteristics of LAB strains isolated from Ragusana donkey milk.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strains</th>
<th>Morphology</th>
<th>Growth at 15° C</th>
<th>Growth at 45° C</th>
<th>Coagulant activity</th>
<th>Acidifying activity (ΔpH)</th>
<th>Growth at lysozyme concentration (g/l)</th>
<th>Cluster</th>
<th>Genbank accession number</th>
<th>Identity (%)</th>
<th>Closest sequenced relative strains</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AMBI1</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>L. paracasei/paracasei ATCC25303(T)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMBI2, AMBL6, AMBL7</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>4, 2</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMBL15, AMBL23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>3, 1</td>
<td>99.5</td>
<td>E. faecium V689</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMBL21</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>99.6</td>
<td>E. lactis/lactis ATCC25303(T)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMBL22, AMBL16, AMBL24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>3, 4</td>
<td>99.9</td>
<td>E. lactis/lactis KF147</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMBL13</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>99.4</td>
<td>L. plantarum/ plantarum ATCC14917(T)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMBL20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.53</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMBL19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMBL4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>99.2</td>
<td>E. faecium V689</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMBL10, AMBL11, AMBL12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>99.8</td>
<td>L. lactis/lactis KF147</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMBL14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>L. lactis/lactis KF147</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMBL25, AMBL27, AMBL30, AMBL32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>99.6</td>
<td>E. faecium V689</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMBL29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>99.6</td>
<td>L. paracasei/paracasei ATCC25303(T)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMBL22, AMBL26, AMBL30, AMBL32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>99.8</td>
<td>L. lactis/lactis KF147</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMBL27, AMBL28, AMBL35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMBL31</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>99.6</td>
<td>L. paracasei/paracasei ATCC25303(T)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMBL34, AMBL36</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>3, 4</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMBL33</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMBL37</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>99.6</td>
<td>L. paracasei/paracasei ATCC25303(T)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

++: compact and consistent coagulum formation within 8 hours; +: coagulum formation within 8 h; -: no coagulum formation; ΔpH = (initial pH – final pH), measured after 8 hours of acidification; the underlined typed strains indicate the 13 strains, belonging to the different four cluster, selected for the 16 S rRNA gene sequencing; cluster membership according to Fig. 2, of strains selected for the 16 S rRNA gene sequencing; ns: not submitted to sequencing analysis.

*C. parapsilosis, Pichia fermentans, Candida sake, Candida tropicalis, Candida inconspicua, Trichosporon cutaneum, Trichosporon lactis, Cryptococcus curvatus, Cryptococcus caryocathus and Cryptococcus victoriae [35].* In the present study the 72% of yeast strains was assigned to the *K. lactis* species, known to convert lactose into lactic acid [39, 40] and to play a positive role in development of aroma, texture, digestibility, and/or determining the growth of other microorganisms [41]. The remaining yeast strains were identified as *Cry. curvatus, T. moniliforme* and *C. parapsilosis*. The three strains of cluster 2, identified as *T. moniliforme*, were firstly ascribed to the *C. albicans*, because the Msp1 enzyme cuts is in the high homology fragment within the internal sequence of the ITS region. *Trichosporon* genus has been frequently isolated from milk and dairy products [42]. The species *C. parapsilosis* is one of the most frequently isolated yeast in raw ewe milk [35].

Results of the present work showed that the dominant bacterial species were identified as *Lc. lactis/lactis* (50%), *L. paracasei*
Among facultative heterofermentative LAB the importance of *L. paracasei* species in dairy field is linked to its role as non-starter lactic acid bacteria (LAB) and in flavor development during cheese ripening. Among facultative heterofermentative LAB the importance of *L. paracasei* species in dairy field is linked to its role as non-starter LAB and in flavor development during cheese ripening [36].

Regarding acidifying activity results showed that: four strains (13%), belonging both to *L. casei*/*paracasei* and *L. lactis* species, exhibited a very good acidifying activity (ΔpH > 1.0); sixteen strains (50%), ten identified as *L. lactis*, five as *L. paracasei* and one as *L. plantarum*, exhibited a ΔpH value between 1.0 and 2.0; five strains (16%), four identified as *E. faecium* exhibited a ΔpH value between 0.5 and 1.0. The highest acidification activity was revealed by *E. faecalis* strains. The most *Lc. lactis* strains showed good coagulant activity, with a formation of a compact coagulum within 8 h (Table 1).

Even though LAB cocci have generally been considered more resistant to lysozyme than lactobacilli, results of the present study highlighted that the 60% of lactobacilli and the 45% coccus shaped LAB showed growth at the highest lysozyme concentration tested (Table 1), confirming that resistance to lysozyme is a strain specific trait [24].

Results of technological characterization of the 50 yeast strains are showed in the Table 2. No pigment production was detected, while three different morphological types were observed. All strains grew at 25, 32 and 37°C, and 45 (90%) grew also at 42°C (data not shown).

**Table 2. Number and size of fragments generated by PCR-RFLP and sequencing analysis of yeast strains isolated form Ragusana donkey milk.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strains</th>
<th>ITS1-ITS4 Amplicon size (bp)</th>
<th>Hhal (bp)</th>
<th>HaelI</th>
<th>HindIII</th>
<th>Real</th>
<th>Mspl</th>
<th>Pattern</th>
<th>Species by PCR/RFLP</th>
<th>ITS2 closest match and Genbank accession number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AMS1, AMS3, AMS4, AMS6, AMS7, AMS9, AMS10, AMS12, AMS13, AMS14, AMS15, AMS16, AMS18, AMS20, AMS21, AMS22, AMS24, AMS26, AMS27, AMS29, AMS30, AMS33, AMS34, AMS35, AMS36, AMS37, AMS38, AMS59, AMS42, AMS43, AMS44, AMS46, AMS47, AMS48, APS1, APS2</td>
<td>740</td>
<td>295-195-160-90</td>
<td>660-80</td>
<td>290-180-120-80-70</td>
<td>740</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Kluyveromyces lactis</td>
<td>K. lactis KC858277</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMS2, AMS19, AMS28, AMS40</td>
<td>530</td>
<td>270-260</td>
<td>460-70</td>
<td>300-230</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Cryptococcus curvatus</td>
<td>Cryptococcus curvatus KC858279</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMS8, AMS11, AMS23, AMS29, AMS32, AMS41, AMS45</td>
<td>530</td>
<td>280-260</td>
<td>470-60</td>
<td>290-230</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Candida parapsilosis KC858280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMS5, AMS17, AMS31</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>290-260</td>
<td>480-70</td>
<td>280-270</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>300-240</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Candida albicans</td>
<td>Trichosporon moniliforme KC858278</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(25%), *E. faecium* (12%), and *L. plantarum* (6%), whereas Carminati et al. [23] found *Streptococcus macedonicus* (45%), *E. faecalis* (28%), *E. faecium* (16%). In the present study the 72% of isolated yeast strains was ascribed to the lactose fermenting species *K. lactis*.

**Sequence analysis**

The sequences of the LAB 16S rRNA gene and yeast ITS regions were deposited in the GenBank database. The accession numbers of the 13 selected bacterial strains are reported in Table 1 and those related to the 4 yeast strains in Table 2.

**Technological characterization of LAB and yeast strains**

The results of characterization of the 32 LAB strains confirmed their high heterogeneity (Table 1) and allowed to distinguish 18 different phenotypic clusters. The 75% of the strains showed a coccal morphology and the 80% showed a homofermentative metabolism (data not shown). No strain exhibited growth at 4°C, whereas the 62% grew at 15°C, and 40% of them also at 45°C. Twenty-two strains (68%) showed good coagulant activity, and whereas the 62% grew at 15°C, and 40% of them also at 45°C. Twenty-two strains (68%) showed good coagulant activity, and whereas the 62% grew at 15°C, and 40% of them also at 45°C.

Regardig acidifying activity results showed that: four strains (13%), belonging both to *Lc. lactis*/*cremoris* and *Lc. lactis* species, exhibited a very good acidifying activity (ΔpH > 2.0); sixteen strains (50%), ten identified as *L. lactis*, five as *L. paracasei* and one as *L. plantarum*, exhibited a ΔpH value between 1.0 and 2.0; five strains (16%), four identified as *E. faecium* exhibited a ΔpH value between 0.5 and 1.0. The highest acidification activity was revealed by *Lc. paracasei* strains. The most *Lc. lactis* strains exhibited a ΔpH > 1.0.

Among facultative heterofermentative LAB the importance of *L. paracasei* species in dairy field is linked to its role as non-starter LAB and in flavour development during cheese ripening [43].
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