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Introduction

Recurrent aphthous stomatitis (RAS) is a multifactorial chronic inflammatory disorder, characterized by recurrent, round or ovoid, painful ulcerations of the non-keratinized mucosa with a shallow necrotic center covered by a pseudomembrane and surrounded by an erythematous halo [1]. The current therapeutic approaches aim to relieve pain, alleviate inflammation, decrease functional disability, promote ulcer healing as well as reduction of the ulcer duration, frequency of recurrences and increase disease-free period. Several topical medications including antibiotics, local analgesics, glucocorticoids, astringents, and laser therapy have been used for treatment [1, 2].

Triamcinolone acetonide (TA) is a fluoroic synthetic corticosteroid and available in cream (0.1%) and ointment (0.1%) forms for topical use. The absorption rate varies from 1% to 36% in different parts of the body and increases via damaged, inflamed or dressed skin [3, 4]. Topical TA ointment was shown to be effective in the treatment of aphthous lesions [4, 5]. Hyaluronan or hyaluronic acid (HA) is a biomaterial that has been introduced as an alternative approach to enhance wound healing [6]. HA is a major carbohydrate component of the extracellular matrix and can be found in many tissues [7]. In the treatment of RAS, hyaluronic acid rapidly reduce the pain and discomfort caused by the ulcers, accelerated the healing process, and significantly reduce the risk of recurrence of the disorder. It also controls the inflammatory process and rehydrates the tissues [8]. This study was conducted to evaluate the efficacy of topical HA gel and compared with TA pomade in pain control of RAS.

Materials and Method

Sixty patients (mean age=38.16±12.2; range=18-60, female=30,
male=30) whom were referred to the Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery with the complaint of oral mucosal ulcers were included in the study. Mean disease time was 8.28 ± 4.9 year and mean healing time was 9.80 ± 2.8 day in all patients. All of the participants received written and verbal information about the study and signed a detailed informed-consent form voluntarily. The subjects randomly divided into two groups; TA pomade or HA gel. The diagnosis of RAS was based on the anamnesis and clinical examination [9]. Participants fulfilled the following inclusion criteria; being over the age of 18 years; having an history of RAS for at least 2 years, having only one well-demarcated ulcer in easily accessible area of the mouth for less than 48 hours’ duration and having normal sense of pain without anesthesia or paresthesia.

The exclusion criteria were as follows; pregnancy and lactation; having a hematological deficiency such as anemia, iron, vitamin B12 and/or folic acid deficiency that could pose a risk for RAS; systemic diseases such as ulcerative colitis, Crohn’s disease, Behçet’s syndrome alcohol and smoking consumption; treatment of ulcers with systemic steroids, vitamins, antibiotics, antihistamines, oral retinoids or immunomodulatory agents within three months before study entry.

The sociodemographic datas and clinical characteristics of the ulcer cases were collected by questionnaire regarding age, gender, the mean disease duration, the mean healing time of previous ulcers, family RAS history, and the localization of the current ulcer. To evaluate pain level, a visual analog scale (VAS) consisting of a 10-cm horizontal line between the poles of “no pain (0)” to “unbearable pain (10)” was used [10]. After clinical examinations and measurement of baseline datas, patients were randomly assigned into one of two groups; TA group (Kenacort-A Oralbase® Pomad, 0.1% Triamcinolone acetonide, Britsol-Myers Squibb Ilacları Inc. Istanbul, Turkey) or HA group (Aftamed® Oral gel, AktiFarma. Istanbul, Turkey). All patients were instructed to apply the agents to the ulcer 4 times per day (after meals and before bed time) for 7 days (day 0 to day 6). Ulcer pain level was measured at day 0, 4 and 7 and patients were warned not to use any other products for the treatment of aphthous ulcers while participating in this study. At the end of therapy, all patients were also asked to self-report any adverse effects of agents.

Analysis was performed using SPSS (Statistical Package for Social Sciences) for Windows 15.0. All variables were analyzed descriptively. For descriptive statistical methods (mean, Standard deviation and frequency), as well as for the comparison of quantitative data, a one-way ANOVA were performed for between-group comparisons of parameters showing a normal distribution. For the comparison of continuous variables with normal distributions, Student’s t-test was performed.

### Results

A total of 60 patients were enrolled the study and 3 patients dropped out because of violation of the study protocol and 28 subjects in TA group, 29 subjects in HA group completed the study. Statistically significant differences were not detected among the demographics and ulcer histories including age, gender, disease time, mean healing time, family RAS history and ulcer localization between two groups (p>0.05). Although there was no statistical difference between two groups by means of mean ulcer VAS scores (8.59 ± 1.08 in TA group and 8.57 ± 1.05 in HA group) at day 0, significant differences were found at day 4 (VAS score=5.82 ± 1.07 in TA group and 4.88±0.83 in HA group) and day 7 (VAS score=3.07 ± 0.97 in TA group and 2.30 ± 0.90 in HA group). The ulcer pain scores (VAS) of two groups decreased within time however, the pain score in HA group was statistically lower than that of the TA group at day 4 and 7 (p<0.05) (Table 1). All patients tolerated the agents and no side effect was reported during the study.

### Discussion

RAS is a complicated condition and the precise etiology still remains unknown. Different treatment modalities, topical and systemic agents have been used to decrease symptoms, reduce ulcer number and size, increase disease-free periods [11]. The first line treatment of RAS should always start with topical medications. TA is a medium to high potency corticosteroid, a fluorinated prednisolone derivative and is being widely used in the treatment of mild to severe RAS [12-14]. Pain reduction is a recognized feature of steroid treatment for aphthous ulceration. Rhodus and Beuerer found that 20% of patients treated with TA reported pain reduction in the first 3 days of treatment [15]. In another study Al-na’mah et al. compared a paste containing dexamethasone and TA in orabase in RAS treatment and reported faster healing of the ulcer with dexamethasone treatment although statistically not significant [16]. Deshmukh and Bagewadi have compared the efficacy of curcumin, which is known for its strong antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, antibacterial and analgesic properties, and TA in the gel form in the treatment of RAS and found similar reduction in size and number of ulcer in both groups [17]. Bhalang et al. reported a higher effectiveness of 0.1% TA than aconaman, a polysaccharide extracted from Aloe vera, in the treatment of oral aphthous ulceration [18].

HA is also an alternative agent for topical treatment in RAS. It is a glycosaminoglycan with anti-inflammatory and antiedema-tous effects. HA has multifaceted roles in biology, utilizing both its physicochemical and biological properties, and also has many properties that make it a potentially ideal molecule for assisting wound healing, inducing beneficial early granulation tissue forma-

### Table 1. The comparison of triamcinolone acetonide (TA) group and hyaluronic acid (HA) group in regards of VAS score.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VAS score</th>
<th>TA group (n=28)</th>
<th>HA group (n=29)</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Day of 0</td>
<td>8.59 ± 1.08</td>
<td>8.57 ± 1.05</td>
<td>0.072</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day of 4</td>
<td>5.82 ± 1.07</td>
<td>4.88 ± 0.83</td>
<td>3.37</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day of 7</td>
<td>3.07 ± 0.97</td>
<td>2.30 ± 0.90</td>
<td>2.97</td>
<td>0.004*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Student’s t-test was used *p<0.05

The efficacy of HA gel on RAS recurrence. The mechanism and also the efficacy of HA gel on RAS recurrence. In the effect of HA gel in cellular base might clarify the whole synthesis which plays a central role in the healing of wounds. In further studies involving larger number sample size and evaluating the effect of HA gel in cellular base might clarify the whole mechanism and also the efficacy of HA gel on RAS recurrence.

Conclusion

The results of this study demonstrate that topical application of HA gel could decrease pain intensity, without any side effects and easy applicable. Therefore HA gel could be used a well-tolerated, safe, topical therapeutic agent in clinical practice of RAS treatment.
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