

Inhibitory Effects of oxalic acid on *Listeria monocytogenes*, *Salmonella Enteritidis* and *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 inoculated onto Chicken Breast stored at 4°C

Research Article

D. M. Anang^{1*}, G. Rusul², H. M. Moda¹

¹Food, Nutrition and Health Research Centre, Manchester Metropolitan University, Manchester, M15 6BG United Kingdom.

²School of Industrial Technology, Universiti Sains Malaysia, USM, Penang, Malaysia.

Abstract

Oxalic acid was evaluated for its effectiveness in inhibiting growth of selected pathogens on raw chicken breasts. Inoculated chicken breasts were dipped in oxalic acid solutions (0, 0.5, 1.0, 1.5 and 2.0% w/v) for 10, 20, and 30 min, packed in oxygen-permeable polyethylene bags, and stored at 4°C. Oxalic acid residues were determined using HPLC method. Counts of pathogens on chicken breasts were determined on days 0, 2, 5, 7, 10, and 14 after storage. Maximum oxalic acid concentration in unwashed chicken breast was 36 mg/100g. Washing of chicken reduced oxalic acid concentration by 50%. Oxalic acid concentration in cooked breast was 2mg/100g which is quite lower than levels in vegetables and herbs, used in daily diets. Chicken meat treated with oxalic acid could therefore be safe for human consumption. Reduction by 2.87, 2.02 and 4.12 log CFU/g, of *L. monocytogenes*, *S. Enteritidis* and *E. coli* O157:H7 respectively was observed in treated samples. Counts of the pathogens in treated samples decreased compared to untreated samples during 14 days storage. Sensory evaluation of cooked oxalic acid treated samples was acceptable to consumers after 14 days of storage. It was evident that oxalic acid has great potential for decontamination of chicken carcasses.

Keywords: Decontamination; Oxalic acid; Poultry; *Listeria monocytogenes*, *Salmonella Enteritidis*; *Escherichia coli* O157:H7.

*Corresponding Author:

D. M. Anang,
 Food, Nutrition and Health Research Centre, Manchester Metropolitan University, Manchester, M15 6BG United Kingdom.
 Tel: +44 (0) 1612476461
 E-mail: d.m.anang@mmu.ac.uk

Received: September 07, 2015

Accepted: November 11, 2015

Published: November 19, 2015

Citation: D. M. Anang, G. Rusul, H. M. Moda (2015) Inhibitory Effects of Oxalic Acid on *Listeria Monocytogenes*, *Salmonella Enteritidis* and *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 inoculated onto Chicken Breast stored at 4°C. *Int J Food Sci Nutr Diet.* 04(6), 233-238. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.19070/2326-3350-1500042>

Copyright: D. M. Anang© 2015. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Introduction

Poultry meat is one of the most common foods that cause food-borne infection and intoxication in humans [1]. Epidemiological reports available have implicated poultry as a major source for human food poisoning outbreaks [2, 3]. Poultry slaughtered in modern poultry processing plants is reported to harbour as many as 27 different species of bacteria [1], some of which are known to be pathogenic to humans. These pathogens are present on feathers and skin of broilers which can enter into the processing plant [4]. In poultry, foodborne disease is caused by pathogens such as *Salmonella*, *Campylobacter jejuni* and *Listeria monocytogenes* which are

of great concern globally [3, 5, 6]. Other frequently encountered pathogens such as *E. coli* O157:H7 and *Staphylococcus aureus* are also of great concern to the food industry as they are identified as high risk pathogens in temperature abused, ready-to-eat (RTE) pork and poultry-meat products with extended shelf life [7, 8].

Of late, researchers have developed numerous decontamination strategies such as the use of chemicals, organic acids, hot water rinsing and irradiation to reduce the number of pathogenic bacteria capable of causing human diseases via poultry. The use of chemicals and organic acids in reducing pathogens in poultry have proven to be beneficial [9-11].

Oxalic acid, a final metabolic product of plants, including radish, spinach, parsley, carrots etc., has been recognised to possess various functions such anti-browning, antioxidant, intrinsic heat tolerance and inhibition of peroxidase and polyphenol oxidase activities [12-14]. In addition, Huang et al. [12] observed that oxalic acid can effectively control pericarp browning of litchi and banana during postharvest storage. Previously, Anang et al. [15] reported the inhibitory effect of oxalic acid on spoilage microorganisms, including *Pseudomonas* spp. and those of the *Enterobacteriaceae* family, in raw chicken. Their results showed that oxalic acid was effective in reducing populations of major spoilage microorganisms on raw chilled chicken breast.

The objective of the present study was to evaluate the effectiveness of oxalic acid in inhibiting growth of *Salmonella Enteritidis*, *E. coli* O157:H7 and *L. monocytogenes* inoculated onto raw chicken breast. It is envisaged that the results obtained from the present study will provide further information on the effectiveness of oxalic acid as a decontamination product for raw poultry and its

resultant benefit to consumers.

Materials and Methods

Preparation of bacterial inoculum

Cultures of *Listeria monocytogenes* (L55), *Salmonella* Enteritidis (S552) and *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 (E19 – characterised as a non-sorbitol fermenting), originally isolated from patients with foodborne illness, were obtained from the Malaysian Institute for Medical Research. The cultures were activated by transferring 0.05 ml of a frozen (-20°C) stock culture into 10 ml Tryptone Soy Broth (TSB) (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) and incubated overnight at 35°C. This was then streaked onto Tryptone Soy Agar (TSA) (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) and incubated for 24 h at 35°C. Working cultures were kept on TSA slants at 8°C and sub-cultured every 2 weeks. Bacterial inoculum was prepared according to the method described by Anang et al. [16] by transferring culture from the slants to 10 ml TSB and incubated at 35°C for 24 h. The 10 ml culture was later transferred to 990 ml TSB and incubated for 18 h. The inoculum was subsequently diluted with fresh TSB on the day of inoculation to yield c.a. 10⁸-10⁹ CFU/ml of *L. monocytogenes* (L55), *S. Enteritidis* (S552) and *E. coli* O157:H7 (E19).

Inoculation of chicken breast

Fresh, raw chicken breasts (approximately 150 g each) were procured immediately after slaughter. The samples were placed on ice and transferred to the laboratory within 20 min and stored at 4°C for no longer than 2 hours before being used. Each chicken breast was decontaminated, according to the method described by Anang et al. [16] and Greer and Dilts [17], by dipping in 70% ethanol, passed through a flame of a Bunsen burner and allowed to cool. The decontaminated chicken breasts were dipped in suspension of *L. monocytogenes*, *S. Enteritidis* or *E. coli* O157:H7 (10⁹ CFU/ml) at room temperature (25°C) for 15 min. Ratio of chicken breast to culture suspension was 1:2 (w/v), which allowed complete immersion of the chicken breast. Initial counts of *L. monocytogenes*, *S. Enteritidis* and *E. coli* O157:H7 in chicken breast immediately after dipping in the inoculum suspension ranged from log 6.8- log 7.5 CFU/g. Thereafter, the chicken breasts were kept at room temperature for 20 min to allow for inoculum attachment. Inoculated chicken breast (150g and 25°C) was subsequently dipped in 200ml of 0 (control – sterile distilled water), 0.5, 1, 1.5 or 2% of oxalic acid (w/v) for 10, 20 or 30 min [15]. Chicken were completely immersed in the treatment solutions without stirring or agitation. After the oxalic acid dipping, the chicken breast samples were placed in a sterile plastic colander to drain the excess water (control) or oxalic acid solution, and individually placed in Low Density Polyethylene (LDPE) bags (oxygen transmission rate 2600 cm³/m²/atm for 1ml film at 25°C). Chicken breasts were subjected to microbial analysis after treatment (day 0) and storage for 2, 5, 7, 10 and 14 days at 4°C. The experiment was repeated twice. In each experiment, two chicken breasts from each treatment were analysed at each sampling time.

Microbiological sampling and analysis

A 25 g sample of breast muscle was excised using a sterile knife and transferred into a stomacher bag containing 225 ml of 0.1% sterile Buffered Peptone water (Oxoid CM509) and homogenized using Lab-blender 400 (Seward Laboratory, London) stomacher for 45 s. Serial dilutions were prepared using 0.1% sterile buffered peptone water and inoculated onto duplicate agar plates using a

Whitley Automatic Spiral Plater (WASP2 – Don Whitley Scientific Ltd., Shipley, West Yorkshire, U.K.). One hundred microlitre (100µl) of respective dilutions were inoculated onto agar plates. *Listeria monocytogenes* was enumerated on PALCAM *Listeria* selective agar base (PALCAM, Merck 1.12122.0001, Darmstadt, Germany) according to Van Netten, et al. [18], to which PALCAM *Listeria* selective supplement (PALCAM, Merck 1.11755, Darmstadt, Germany) was added. The plates were incubated at 35°C for 48 h before counting. *Salmonella* counts were determined by plating diluted samples on XLT4 agar base (Merck 1.13919) supplemented with Sodium tetradecyl sulfate solution (XLT4 agar supplement, Merck 1.08981.0100) and incubated at 37°C for 24 h. Enumeration of *E. coli* O157:H7 was carried out on Sorbitol MacConkey Agar (CT-SMAC) with 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-β-D-glucuronide (BCIG) (Oxoid CM0981) supplemented with Cefixime Tellurite (Oxoid SR0172) and incubated at 37°C for 24h. The Whitley Acolyte Automated Colony Counter (Synbiosis Beacon House, Cambridge, UK) was used for counting colonies on each plate.

Determination of oxalic acid residue in treated chicken breast

Oxalic acid residues in treated chicken breasts were determined using a modified method described by Savage et al. [19]. After dipping in various concentrations of oxalic acid and draining excess solution for 5 min, the samples were divided into 2 groups. One group of samples was washed in distilled water for 2 min with mild rubbing before extraction while the other group remained unwashed.

Oxalic acid residue was also determined for oven roasted (160°C for 30 min) chicken breast dipped in 0.5 and 1.5% oxalic acid for 10 min. Representative samples of chicken breast meat were dried to constant weight at 105°C for 24 h to determine dry matter for calculating the oxalic acid content per 100 g of fresh weight.

Extraction of oxalic acid: After dipping in the various solutions of oxalic acid, chicken breast were allowed to drain off excess solution and then divided into 2 groups. One group of chicken breast was washed in distilled water before extraction while the other group was not washed. Oxalic acid residue was also determined for oven roast (160°C for 30 min) chicken breast that was dipped in 0.5 and 1.5% oxalic acid for 10 min.

One hundred grams (100g) of oxalic acid treated chicken breast (taken from the surface, 3 mm thick) was cut in smaller pieces, freeze dried for 24 hrs, using the Labconco Freezone 1 litre benchtop freeze dry system (Labconco, Missouri, USA) and ground to fine sample size using ceramic piston and mortar. One gram (1 g) of the ground freeze-dried oxalic acid treated chicken breasts were weighed into 250 mL beakers and 50 ml of 2M HCl added. Each beaker was placed in a water bath at 80°C for 15 min. The extract was allowed to cool to room temperature, and then transferred quantitatively into a 100 ml volumetric flasks and made up to 100 ml volume with 2M HCl. The extracts were later centrifuge at 3000 rpm for 2 min and 10 ml of the supernatant filtered through a 0.45 µm cellulose acetate membrane (Sartorius AG, Goettingen, Germany). A 10µl of extract was analysed using a Shimadzu Chromatographic System (Shimadzu Corporation, Japan), consisting of Shimadzu LC-6A Isocratic/Gradient Pump, Shimadzu SPD-6A UV/VIS Spectrophotometric detector set at 214 nm. Chromatographic separation was performed using an

Aminex HPX-87H 300 x 7.8 mm organic acid analysis column (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Ca., USA) with isocratic elution set at 0.6 ml/min with 0.008 M sulphuric acid as mobile phase. Before use, the mobile phase was filtered through 0.45 µm membrane and degassed using a vacuum. A known standard was prepared in the range of 1 to 70 mg/100 ml by dissolving oxalic acid in 50 ml 2M HCl. The solution was quantitatively transferred to 100 ml volumetric flasks and made up to 100 ml volume using 2M HCl. All the standard solutions were filtered through 0.45 µm cellulose acetate membrane filter prior to analysis. Each HPLC analysis of the standards and samples were performed in triplicate.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis of the data obtained was analysed using the SAS software (version 9.4) (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, N.C.). Analysis of variance was carried out at 95% significance level ($P < 0.05$) and Duncan's t test was used for the means.

Results and Discussion

Oxalic acid residue in treated chicken breast

Oxalic acid residues in chicken breast dipped in 0.5-2.0% oxalic acid solutions for 10, 20 or 30 min are shown in Table 1. The highest residual amount of 35.6 mg/100g was observed when the chicken breast was dipped in 2.0% oxalic acid for 30 min. This amount which is equivalent to 0.04% (based on 85% dry matter of chicken breast) is quite low compared to the reported values in vegetables and herbs used in daily diets including parsley (1.70%, dry weight basis), chives (1.48%), spinach (0.97%), beet leaves (0.61%), carrots (0.50%), and radish (0.48%) [20]. Washing of chicken with water significantly reduced oxalic acid residues by 50% or more (Table 1). In the cooked chicken breast (160°C for 30 min.) treated with 0.5% and 1.5% oxalic acid, the oxalic acid residues were found to be 1.94 mg/100g and 2.0 mg/100g, respectively.

Based on the predicted LD₅₀ in rats (of 375 mg/kg) [21] and extrapolating from this dose for a person weighing 150 lb (68.1 kg), consumption of 25.5 g of oxalic acid is required for an LD₅₀. Based on the rat model considered, it was concluded, an individual would have to consume about 71.63 kg of the 2.0% oxalic acid

treated chicken breast (unwashed after treatment) to have 25.5g oxalic acid required for an LD₅₀. Hence, treating chicken breast with oxalic acid at the current level proposed in the study, and compared to oxalic acid contents in vegetables and herbs used in daily diets, it was concluded that the available amounts contain in the chicken breast after treatment would be safe for human consumption.

Listeria monocytogenes, *Salmonella Enteritidis* and *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 growth during storage of chicken breast

Table 2 shows the log-reduction in *L. monocytogenes*, *S. Enteritidis* and *E. coli* O157:H7 inoculated onto chicken breast and treated with different concentrations of oxalic acid. Accordingly, based on the results obtained, the highest reduction was observed in *E. coli* O157:H7 (log 4.12 CFU/g). However, as indicated by Blackburn and McCarthy [22], the use of SMAC-based media may lead to overestimated count of *E. coli* O157:H7. Report from the work by Clavero and Beuchat [23], Sage and Ingham [24] and Singh et al. [25] have indicated poor recovery of injured cells and therefore underestimation of numbers of viable *E. coli* O157:H7 when SMAC was used. In addition, previous studies conducted using the methods described by Blackburn and McCarthy [22] observed no difference in injured *E. coli* O157:H7 cells during organic acid decontamination [26, 27]. The result for *Salmonella Enteritidis* reduction was less when compared to *L. monocytogenes* which has maximum reductions of log 2.02 CFU/g at the highest concentration after dipping in oxalic acid.

Table 3 presents the result of *L. monocytogenes* counts in inoculated chicken breast treated with oxalic acid during storage at 4°C. A decrease in *Listeria monocytogenes* was recorded until day 2 for samples treated with 0.5 and 1.0% oxalic acid solutions for 10, 20 or 30 min. However, *Listeria* counts on chicken breast dipped in 0.5, 1.0 or 1.5% oxalic acid solution for 10, 20 or 30 min were ca. 1.79-3.24 log CFU/g less on day 2 compared to those before treatment. *Listeria* counts on chicken breast treated with water (control) decreased slightly on day 2 of storage but increased relatively fast throughout the storage time. There was a significant reduction in *Listeria monocytogenes* counts when chicken breasts were treated with 2% oxalic acid for 10 min compared with 20 or 30 min until day 7 of storage. However, a significant decrease in *Listeria monocytogenes* was observed between the 3 dipping times after

Table 1. Oxalic acid residues (mg/100g) in chicken breast treated with different concentrations of oxalic acid.

Oxalic acid concentration (%)	Dipping duration (min)	Oxalic acid residue in chicken (mg/100g)	
		Unwashed chicken	Washed chicken
0.5	10	8.97 ^a	3.84 ^b (1.94)*
	20	9.76 ^a	5.08 ^b
	30	11.29 ^a	5.88 ^b
1.0	10	10.07 ^a	4.25 ^b
	20	11.29 ^a	5.06 ^b
	30	12.46 ^a	6.76 ^b
1.5	10	13.50 ^a	7.33 ^b (2.00)*
	20	20.72 ^a	10.07 ^b
	30	28.66 ^a	14.88 ^b
2.0	10	16.16 ^a	8.66 ^b
	20	22.60 ^a	10.78 ^b
	30	35.64 ^a	16.74 ^b

Mean values in the same row bearing different superscripts are significantly different from each other ($P \leq 0.05$).

*Oxalic acid residue of treated chicken breast oven-roasted (160°C for 30 min.)

Table 2. Log-reduction of *Listeria monocytogenes*, *Salmonella* Enteritidis and *E. coli* O157:H7 inoculated onto chicken breast and treated with different concentrations of oxalic acid for 10, 20 and 30 min.

Oxalic acid concentration (%)	Dipping duration (min)	Log CFU/g		
		<i>L. monocytogenes</i>	<i>S. Enteritidis</i>	<i>E. coli</i> O157:H7
0.0	10	0.51	0.05	0.17
	20	0.55	0.01	0.23
	30	0.48	0.06	0.16
0.5	10	1.52	0.75	3.33
	20	1.81	0.90	3.32
	30	2.06	0.78	3.44
1.0	10	1.55	0.84	3.66
	20	2.51	1.11	3.83
	30	2.31	1.37	3.9
1.5	10	1.87	1.28	3.67
	20	2.57	1.41	3.86
	30	2.64	1.83	3.99
2.0	10	2.49	1.32	3.68
	20	2.87	1.78	3.94
	30	2.67	2.02	4.12

Table 3. Counts of *Listeria monocytogenes* (log CFU/g)^{1,2} on chicken breasts treated with oxalic acid for 10, 20 and 30 min and stored at 4°C for 14 days.

Oxalic acid Conc. (%)	Dipping time (min)/Storage time (day)																	
	10-min dip						20-min dip						30-min dip					
	0	2	5	7	10	14	0	2	5	7	10	14	0	2	5	7	10	14
Control	6.79 ^a _A	6.53 ^a _A	7.43 ^a _{AB}	7.66 ^a _A	8.16 ^a _A	8.63 ^a _A	6.74 ^a _A	6.55 ^a _A	7.54 ^a _A	7.71 ^a _A	8.28 ^a _A	8.64 ^a _A	6.97 ^a _A	6.92 ^a _B	7.20 ^a _B	7.71 ^a _A	8.35 ^a _A	8.64 ^a _A
0.5	5.93 ^b _A	5.66 ^b _A	6.59 ^b _A	6.71 ^b _A	6.72 ^b _A	6.74 ^b _A	5.61 ^b _B	5.02 ^b _B	5.55 ^b _B	5.95 ^b _A	6.16 ^b _B	6.54 ^b _B	5.39 ^b _C	4.87 ^b _C	5.49 ^b _C	5.55 ^b _A	6.35 ^b _C	6.68 ^b _A
1.0	5.90 ^b _A	5.61 ^b _A	6.44 ^c _A	6.52 ^b _A	6.45 ^c _A	6.51 ^a _A	4.94 ^c _B	4.82 ^c _B	5.38 ^c _B	5.47 ^b _B	6.03 ^c _B	6.35 ^c _B	5.14 ^c _C	4.86 ^b _B	5.19 ^c _C	5.46 ^b _B	6.16 ^c _C	6.76 ^b _A
1.5	5.58 ^c _A	5.40 ^c _A	5.68 ^d _A	5.81 ^c _A	5.83 ^d _A	6.08 ^d _A	4.88 ^c _B	4.91 ^d _B	4.71 ^d _B	5.38 ^b _B	4.95 ^d _B	5.12 ^d _B	4.81 ^d _B	4.33 ^c _C	4.62 ^d _C	4.66 ^c _C	4.67 ^d _C	5.35 ^c _C
2.0	4.96 ^d _A	4.82 ^d _A	5.50 ^e _A	5.75 ^c _A	5.59 ^e _A	5.68 ^d _A	4.58 ^d _B	4.31 ^e _B	4.57 ^d _B	4.65 ^c _B	4.81 ^e _B	4.98 ^e _B	4.78 ^d _B	4.21 ^e _B	4.40 ^d _B	4.61 ^d _C	4.61 ^d _C	4.75 ^d _C

¹ Mean values in the same column bearing different superscripts are significantly different from each other ($P \leq 0.05$).² Mean values in the same row under same day bearing different subscripts are significantly different from each other ($P \leq 0.05$)

storage for 10-14 days. After day 14 of storage, *Listeria* counts on oxalic acid treated chicken breast were 1.9- 3.89 log CFU/g less than on control breasts. In addition, at the end of the 14 day storage *Listeria monocytogenes* counts on chicken breast treated with 2.0% oxalic acid for 20 or 30 min were less than 5 log CFU/g. The optimum concentration of oxalic acid and dipping time, for decontamination of *Listeria monocytogenes*, is the combination of 2% and 30 min of dipping. However, 1.5% oxalic acid at 30 min dipping time achieved similar results.

The effect of treating chicken breast with oxalic acid on counts of *S. Enteritidis* is shown in Table 4. Dipping chicken breast in oxalic acid solutions for 10, 20 or 30 min caused significant reductions in *Salmonella* counts. Additionally, dipping in 0.5-2.0% oxalic acid solution for 10, 20 or 30 min caused significant reductions in *Salmonella* counts during storage for 10 days, with the exception of 2% oxalic acid treated chicken breast on which *Salmonella* continued to decrease till the end of the 14 day storage. Counts of *S. Enteritidis* on chicken breasts treated with 1.0- 2.0% oxalic acid for 30 min remained less than 6 log CFU/g throughout the 14 days storage at 4°C whilst *Salmonella* counts on 0.5% oxalic acid treated chicken breast for 10-30 min were higher than 6 log CFU/g. By the end of the 14 days storage, the chicken breast dipped in oxalic acid had significantly lower *Salmonella* counts than on the control samples. Also, the chicken breast dipped in 2% oxalic acid had less *Salmonella* counts than control samples at the end of storage. The optimum concentration of oxalic acid and dipping time, for decontamination of *S. Enteritidis*, is the combination of 2% and

30 min of dipping. However, 1.5% oxalic acid at 30 min dipping time achieved similar results.

With regard to *E. coli* O157:H7, treating chicken breast with oxalic acid for 10 to 30 min caused a significant reduction in *E. coli* O157:H7 counts and also retarded its growth during the 14 days of storage at 4°C (Table 5). However, *E. coli* O157:H7 counts on chicken breast dipped in water (control) continued to increase throughout the 14 days storage and reached a maximum of between 8.10 and 8.21 log CFU/g at the end of storage. Dipping chicken breast in oxalic acid for 30 min resulted in significantly greater reductions in *E. coli* O157:H7 counts compared to 10 or 20 min dipping. *E. coli* O157:H7 counts on 1.5% oxalic acid treated chicken breast for 30 min and 2.0% oxalic acid treated chicken for 20 or 30 min remained less than 4 log CFU/g throughout the 14 days storage. At the end of the 14 days storage, *E. coli* O157:H7 counts on oxalic acid treated chicken breast were 3.01- 4.33 log CFU/g less than counts on water treated chicken breasts which were above log 8.0 CFU/g. Treating chicken with 2% oxalic acid seem to have the same effect on *E. coli* O157:H7 independent of time of dipping. From the results, the optimum concentration of oxalic acid and dipping time, for decontamination of *E. coli* O157:H7, is the combination of 2% at 20 min. However, 1.5% oxalic acid at 20 min dipping time seem to achieve similar results.

There are several published reports on the use of organic acids such as lactic acid for reducing the microbial counts in poultry, meat and in swine [16, 28-30]. Reduction in *Listeria monocytogenes*

Table 4. Counts of *Salmonella* Enteritidis (log CFU/g)^{1,2} on chicken breasts treated with oxalic acid for 10, 20 and 30 min and stored at 4°C for 14 days.

Oxalic acid Conc. (%)	Dipping time (min)/Storage time (day)																	
	10-min dip						20-min dip						30-min dip					
0	2	5	7	10	14	0	2	5	7	10	14	0	2	5	7	10	14	
Control	7.31 ^a _A	7.33 ^a _A	7.54 ^a _A	7.24 ^a _A	7.42 ^a _A	8.28 ^a _A	7.35 ^a _B	7.02 ^a _B	7.21 ^a _B	7.27 ^a _A	7.28 ^a _B	8.23 ^a _A	7.30 ^a _B	7.34 ^a _A	7.27 ^a _B	7.23 ^a _A	7.76 ^a _A	8.15 ^a _A
0.5	6.61 ^b _A	6.66 ^b _A	6.82 ^b _A	6.44 ^b _A	6.41 ^b _A	6.59 ^b _A	6.46 ^b _B	6.54 ^b _B	6.37 ^b _B	6.03 ^b _B	6.28 ^b _B	6.39 ^b _B	6.58 ^b _A	6.14 ^b _C	6.33 ^b _B	6.50 ^b _A	6.65 ^b _C	6.79 ^b _C
1	6.52 ^b _A	5.96 ^c _A	5.82 ^c _A	5.90 ^c _A	6.02 ^c _A	6.21 ^c _A	6.25 ^c _B	6.27 ^c _B	5.84 ^c _A	5.77 ^c _B	5.87 ^c _{AB}	5.93 ^c _B	5.99 ^c _C	5.41 ^c _C	5.63 ^c _A	5.47 ^c _C	5.86 ^c _B	5.82 ^c _B
1.5	6.08 ^c _A	5.88 ^c _A	5.50 ^d _A	5.70 ^c _A	5.80 ^d _A	6.02 ^d _A	5.95 ^d _B	5.83 ^d _A	5.54 ^d _A	5.38 ^d _B	5.30 ^d _B	5.38 ^d _B	5.53 ^d _C	5.23 ^d _B	5.27 ^d _B	5.10 ^d _B	4.44 ^d _C	4.09 ^d _C
2	6.04 ^c _A	5.60 ^d _A	5.67 ^d _A	5.62 ^d _A	5.42 ^d _A	5.46 ^d _A	5.58 ^d _A	5.65 ^d _A	5.57 ^d _B	5.29 ^d _B	5.52 ^d _B	5.54 ^d _B	5.34 ^d _B	5.27 ^d _B	4.42 ^d _C	4.31 ^e _C	4.50 ^d _C	4.29 ^e _B

¹ Mean values in the same column bearing different superscripts are significantly different from each other ($P \leq 0.05$)

² Mean values in the same row under same day bearing different subscripts are significantly different from each other ($P \leq 0.05$)

Table 5. Counts of *E. coli* O157:H7 (log CFU/g)^{1,2} on chicken breasts treated with oxalic acid for 10, 20 and 30 min and stored at 4°C for 14 days.

Oxalic acid Conc. (%)	Dipping time (min)/Storage time (day)																	
	10-min dip						20-min dip						30-min dip					
0	2	5	7	10	14	0	2	5	7	10	14	0	2	5	7	10	14	
Control	7.23 ^a _{AB}	7.43 ^a _A	7.41 ^a _A	7.59 ^a _A	7.87 ^a _A	8.15 ^a _A	7.17 ^a _A	7.39 ^a _A	7.45 ^a _A	7.53 ^a _A	7.75 ^a _A	8.21 ^a _B	7.34 ^a _B	7.44 ^a _A	7.52 ^a _A	7.68 ^a _A	7.83 ^a _A	8.10 ^a _A
0.5	4.07 ^b _A	4.62 ^b _A	4.49 ^b _A	5.10 ^b _A	5.20 ^b _A	5.38 ^b _A	4.08 ^b _A	4.34 ^b _{AB}	4.36 ^b _B	4.77 ^b _B	4.82 ^b _B	5.14 ^b _B	3.96 ^b _A	4.27 ^b _B	4.49 ^b _A	4.90 ^b _A	4.89 ^b _B	5.04 ^b _B
1	3.74 ^c _A	3.85 ^c _A	4.52 ^c _A	4.51 ^c _A	4.57 ^c _A	4.81 ^c _A	3.57 ^c _B	3.18 ^c _B	4.52 ^c _A	4.43 ^c _A	4.50 ^c _A	4.59 ^c _B	3.50 ^c _B	3.29 ^c _B	3.74 ^c _B	4.21 ^c _B	4.51 ^c _A	4.52 ^c _B
1.5	3.73 ^c _A	3.70 ^d _A	3.68 ^c _A	3.72 ^d _A	4.07 ^d _A	4.29 ^d _A	3.54 ^d _B	3.69 ^d _A	3.81 ^d _A	3.70 ^d _A	3.89 ^d _B	4.10 ^d _B	3.41 ^d _B	3.23 ^d _B	3.50 ^d _A	3.61 ^d _B	3.76 ^d _B	3.94 ^d _B
2	3.72 ^c _A	3.73 ^c _A	3.53 ^d _A	3.54 ^c _A	3.78 ^c _A	4.06 ^c _A	3.46 ^d _A	3.32 ^d _B	3.43 ^d _B	3.57 ^d _B	3.28 ^d _C	3.04 ^d _B	3.38 ^d _A	3.13 ^d _C	3.35 ^d _B	3.77 ^d _{AB}		

¹ Mean values in the same column bearing different superscripts are significantly different from each other ($P \leq 0.05$).

² Mean values in the same row under same day bearing different subscripts are significantly different from each other ($P \leq 0.05$).

counts on oxalic acid treated chicken breast was relatively similar to earlier reports by Anang et al. [16] and Gonçalves, et al. [31] in chicken breast treated with up to 4% lactic acid. The authors had reported a 2.83 log CFU and 2.96 log CFU reductions, respectively, in *Listeria monocytogenes* whilst in the present study a reduction of 2.87 log CFU was observed when chicken breast was dipped in 2% oxalic acid at 25°C for 20 min. Greer and Dilts [17] treated pork lean tissue with 3% lactic acid and observed 1-log reduction in *L. monocytogenes* counts. Furthermore results from their study, after storage for 7 days, a maximum of 2 log reduction in *Listeria* counts was observed when pork lean tissue was treated with 3% lactic acid at 55°C. In another study, Özdemir et al. [30] reported a 0.69 and 1.09 log reduction in *L. monocytogenes* counts in beef treated with 1 and 2% lactic acid, respectively. These reductions in *Listeria* counts were relatively lower than those reported in the present study, which were 1.55 and 2.49 log CFU/g (1 and 2% oxalic acid). Further from their study, after 5 days of storage (at 4°C) reductions in *Listeria* counts (by 2.16 and 2.54 log, respectively) were also observed. Whereas in this study, after 5 days of storage, the log reduction in *Listeria* count was at a maximum of 2.26 and 3.05 log at 1 and 2% levels of oxalic acid, respectively. Additionally, organic acids such as acetic acid have also been indicated to be effective against *Listeria monocytogenes* inoculated in beef [10, 29, 32].

Results of the present study indicate that oxalic acid is more effective in killing and inhibiting *S. Enteritidis* and *E. coli* compared to lactic and acetic acid. Beef inoculated with *S. Typhimurium* treated with 2% acetic acid, showed a log reduction of 1 unit [33]. Podolak et al. [34] also reported a log reduction of 0.2-0.8 units in *Salmonella* counts when beef was treated with 1% lactic or acetic acid at 55°C. Anang et al. [16] observed just above one log reduction in *S. Enteritidis* counts when chicken breast was treated with 0.5-2% lactic acid. Treating chicken breast with oxalic acid, on the other hand, led to initial reduction of *S. Enteritidis* inoculated onto chicken breast by 2.02 logs. Oxalic acid also showed residual effect throughout the storage period under study. These results show the additional benefit obtained when oxalic acid was used to

decontaminate the pathogen.

Salmonella spp. was found as more sensitive to organic acid decontamination than *E. coli* O157:H7 [16, 35]. Dubal et al. [36] observed a complete inhibition of *S. Typhimurium* whereas *E. coli* count was reduced by 0.42 log units when inoculated sheep (goat) meat was exposed to 2% lactic acid. However, results from the present study indicate that *E. coli* O157:H7 is more sensitive to oxalic acid than *S. Enteritidis*. When chicken breast was dipped in oxalic acid, the maximum log reduction in *Salmonella* counts was 2.02 whilst the maximum log reduction in *E. coli* count was more than doubled, at a value of 4.12. Counts of *S. Typhimurium* DT104 and non-O157:H7 enterohaemorrhagic *E. coli* inoculated onto beef surface were equally reduced in the range of 2-3 log CFU/cm² after treating with lactic or acetic acid spray [37]. The difference in the effect of oxalic acid against *Salmonella* Enteritidis and *E. coli* O157:H7 in the present study will, however, needs further evaluation.

Results from the present study clearly demonstrated that treating chicken breast with oxalic acid could be beneficial in the decontamination of poultry contaminated by certain common food-borne pathogens. The results also indicate the possible delay in growth or inhibition of the pathogens during subsequent storage at 4°C. Further commercial application of oxalic acid as a preservative is warranted.

Acknowledgments

This study was supported through a grant from Malaysian Ministry of Science Technology and Environment through the Science Fund Mechanism.

References

- [1]. Klinger I (2000) Foodborne human pathogens and their toxic metabolites in Israel. Microbial food contamination. CRC press, Washington D.C. 1-4.
- [2]. Callaway TR, Edrington TS, Anderson RC, Byrd JA, Nisbet DJ (2008) Gas-

- trointestinal microbial ecology and the safety of our food supply as related to *Salmonella*. *J Anim Sci* 86(14 Suppl): E163–E172.
- [3]. Mulder RW (1999) Hygiene during transport, slaughter and processing. In *Poultry Meat Science. Poultry Science Symposium Series* 25: 277–285.
 - [4]. Kotula KL, Pandya Y (1995) Bacterial contamination of broiler chicken before scalding. *J Food Prot* 58(12): 1326–1329.
 - [5]. Alonso-Hernando A, Alonso-Calleja C, Capita R (2010) Effects of exposure to poultry chemical decontaminants on the membrane fluidity of *Listeria monocytogenes* and *Salmonella enterica* strains. *Int J Food Microbiol* 137(2-3): 130–136.
 - [6]. Rantsiou K, Lamberti C, Cocolin L (2010) Survey of *Campylobacter jejuni* in retail chicken meat products by application of a quantitative PCR protocol. *Int J Food Microbiol* 141(Suppl 1): S75–S79.
 - [7]. Bystroń J, Podkowik M, Piasecki T, Wieliczko A, Molenda J, et al. (2010) Genotypes and enterotoxin gene content of *S. aureus* isolates from poultry. *Vet Microbiol* 144(3-4): 498–501.
 - [8]. Mataragas M, Skandamis PN, Drosinos EH (2008) Risk profiles of pork and poultry meat and risk ratings of various pathogen/product combinations. *Int J Food Microbiol* 126(1-2): 1–12.
 - [9]. del Río E, González de Caso B, Prieto M, Alonso-Calleja C, Capita R (2008) Effect of poultry decontaminants concentration on growth kinetics for pathogenic and spoilage bacteria. *Food Microbiol* 25(7): 888–894.
 - [10]. Loretz M, Stephan R, Zweifel C (2010) Antimicrobial activity of decontamination treatments for poultry carcasses: A literature survey. *Food Control* 21(6): 791–804.
 - [11]. Mani-López E, García HS, López-Malo A (2012) Organic acids as antimicrobials to control *Salmonella* in meat and poultry products. *Food Res Int* 45(2): 713–721.
 - [12]. Huang H, Jing G, Guo L, Zhang D, Yang B, et al. (2013) Effect of oxalic acid on ripening attributes of banana fruit during storage. *Postharvest Biol Technol* 84: 22–27.
 - [13]. Kayashima T, Katayama T (2002) Oxalic acid is available as a natural antioxidant in some systems. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1573(1): 1–3.
 - [14]. Yoruk R, Balaban MO, Marshall MR, Yoruk S (2002) The inhibitory effect of oxalic acid on browning of banana slices (30G- 18). In Annual Meeting and Food Expo, Anaheim, California. 18–30.
 - [15]. Anang DM, Rusul G, Son R, Bakar J, Beuchat LR (2006) Inhibitory effect of oxalic acid on bacterial spoilage of raw chilled chicken. *J Food Prot* 69(8): 1913–1919.
 - [16]. Anang DM, Rusul G, Bakar J, Ling FH (2007) Effects of lactic acid and lauricidin on the survival of *Listeria monocytogenes*, *Salmonella Enteritidis* and *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in chicken breast stored at 4 °C. *Food Cont* 18(8): 961–969.
 - [17]. Greer GG, Dilts BD (1995) Lactic acid inhibition of the growth of spoilage bacteria and cold tolerant pathogens on pork. *Int J Food Microbiol* 25(2): 141–151.
 - [18]. Van Netten P, Perales J, Van De Moosdijk A, Curtis GD, Mossel DA (1989) Liquid and solid selective differential media for the detection and enumeration of *Listeria monocytogenes* and other *Listeria* spp. *Int J Food Microbiol* 8(4): 299–316.
 - [19]. Savage GP, Vanhanen L, Mason SM, Ross AB (2000) Effect of cooking on the soluble and insoluble oxalate content of some New Zealand foods. *J Food Comp Anal* 13(3): 201–206.
 - [20]. United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) (2009) Agricultural Handbook. No. 8-11, Vegetables and Vegetables Products. <http://www.ars.usda.gov/Services/docs.htm?docid=9444>.
 - [21]. Anonymous (2005) Rhubarb Compendium. <http://www.rhubarbinfo.com/>
 - [22]. Blackburn CW, McCarthy JD (2000) Modifications to methods for the enumeration and detection of injured *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in foods. *Int J Food Microbiol* 55(1-3): 285–290.
 - [23]. Clavero MRS, Beuchat LR (1995) Suitability of selective plating media for recovering heat- or freeze-stressed *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 from tryptic soy broth and ground beef. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 61(9): 3268–3273.
 - [24]. Sage JR, Ingham SC (1998) Evaluating survival of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in frozen and thawed apple cider: potential use of a hydrophobic grid membrane filter-SD-39 agar method. *J Food Prot* 61(4): 490–494.
 - [25]. Singh N, Singh RK, Bhunia AK (2003) Sequential disinfection of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 inoculated alfalfa seeds before and during sprouting using aqueous chlorine dioxide, ozonated water, and thyme essential oil. *LWT-Food Sci Technol* 36(2): 235–243.
 - [26]. Blagojevic B, Antic D, Adzic B, Tasic T, Ikonik P, et al. (2015) Decontamination of incoming beef trimmings with hot lactic acid solution to improve microbial safety of resulting dry fermented sausages: A pilot study. *Food Cont* 54: 144–149.
 - [27]. Lang MM, Ingham BH, Ingham SC (2000) Efficacy of novel organic acid and hypochlorite treatments for eliminating *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 from alfalfa seeds prior to sprouting. *Int J Food Microbiol* 58(1-2): 73–82.
 - [28]. Anang DM, Rusul G, Ling FH, Bhat R (2010) Inhibitory effects of lactic acid and lauricidin on spoilage organisms of chicken breast during storage at chilled temperature. *Int J Food Microbiol* 144(1): 152–159.
 - [29]. Ikeda JS, Samelis J, Kendall PA, Smith GC, Sofos JN (2003) Acid adaptation does not promote survival or growth of *Listeria monocytogenes* on fresh beef following acid and nonacid decontamination treatments. *J Food Prot* 66(6): 985–992.
 - [30]. Özdemir H, Yıldırım Y, Küplülü Ö, Kolumn A, Göncüoğlu M, et al. (2006) Effects of lactic acid and hot water treatments on *Salmonella Typhimurium* and *Listeria monocytogenes* on beef. *Food Cont* 17(4): 299–303.
 - [31]. Gonçalves AC, Almeida RCC, Alves MAO, Almeida PF (2005) Quantitative investigation on the effects of chemical treatments in reducing *Listeria monocytogenes* populations on chicken breast meat. *Food Cont* 16(7): 617–622.
 - [32]. Conner DE, Kotrola JS, Mikel WB, Tamplyn KC (1997) Effect of acetic-lactic acid treatments applied to beef trim on populations of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 and *Listeria monocytogenes* in ground beef. *J Food Prot* 60(12): 1560–1563.
 - [33]. Tinney KS, Miller MF, Ramsey CB, Thompson LD, Carr MA (1997) Reduction of microorganisms on beef carcasses with electricity and acetic acid. *J Food Prot* 60(6): 625–628.
 - [34]. Podolak R, Zayas J, Kastner CL, Fung DYC (1995) Reduction of *Listeria monocytogenes*, *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 and *Salmonella Typhimurium* during storage of beef sanitized with fumaric, acetic and lactic acids. *J Food Saf* 15(3): 283–290.
 - [35]. Hardin MD, Acuff GR, Lucia LM, Oman JS, Savell JW (1995) Comparison of methods for decontamination from beef carcass surfaces. *J Food Prot* 58(4): 368–374.
 - [36]. Dubal ZB, Paturkar AM, Waskar VS, Zende RJ, Latha C, et al. (2004) Effect of food grade organic acids on inoculated *S. aureus*, *L. monocytogenes*, *E. coli* and *S. Typhimurium* in sheep/goat meat stored at refrigerated temperature. *Meat Sci* 66(4): 817–821.
 - [37]. Cutter CN, Rivera-Betancourt M (2000) Interventions for the reduction of *Salmonella Typhimurium* DT104 and non-O157:H7 enterohaemorrhagic *E. coli* on beef surfaces. *J Food Prot* 63(10): 1326–1332.